



2024

BEE-FRIENDLY

RETAILER SCORECARD

Ranking Top U.S. Grocery Stores on Protecting
Bees and Biodiversity from Toxic Pesticides





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was written by Kendra Klein, deputy director of science, Friends of the Earth.

ABOUT FRIENDS OF THE EARTH:

Friends of the Earth United States, founded by David Brower in 1969, is the U.S. voice of the world's largest federation of grassroots environmental groups, with a presence in 75 countries. Friends of the Earth works to defend the environment and champion a more healthy and just world. We have provided crucial leadership in campaigns resulting in landmark environmental laws, precedent-setting legal victories and groundbreaking reforms of domestic and international regulatory, corporate and financial institution policies. Visit www.foe.org to learn more.

Any errors or omissions in this report are the responsibility of Friends of the Earth U.S.

©Copyright December 2024 by Friends of the Earth U.S.

See the full scorecard results online at www.BeeAction.org.



Table of Contents

Putting pesticides on the sustainability agenda for pollinators & the climate ----- 1

The problem ----- 4

Economic risks of pesticide use and pollinator declines ----- 5

The solution ----- 7

What can retailers do? ----- 8

How companies shape up ----- 10

Conclusion ----- 14



Putting pesticides on the sustainability agenda for pollinators & the climate

Amid rising concern about an “insect apocalypse” and the decimation of bees and butterflies – the small but mighty pollinators responsible for one in three bites of food we eat – retailers are beginning to step up to address the routine and unnecessary use of toxic pesticides in their supply chains.



A growing body of science shows that agricultural pesticides are one of the main drivers of insect declines worldwide, along with habitat loss and climate change.^{1,2} This is a pressing global issue, as scientists have warned that biodiversity loss is a crisis on par with the climate crisis.³

Without pollinators, grocery shelves would run short of a wide assortment of fruits and vegetables, nuts, beans, and delicious favorites like chocolate and coffee. And because bees pollinate alfalfa and other crops eaten by cows, even the dairy and meat shelves would look bare. In fact, 71 of the 100 crops that provide 90% of the world's food are pollinated by bees.⁴

To spur a race to the top, Friends of the Earth created a retailer scorecard to benchmark 25 of the largest U.S. grocery stores on pesticides. In just the past seven years, thirteen major grocery retailers have created policies that address pesticides, showing important momentum in the industry. Yet only five — Giant Eagle, Walmart, Kroger,

Whole Foods, and Meijer — have made timebound and measurable commitments. The scope and implementation of pesticide policies across the sector fall far short of what is needed to protect biodiversity, the climate, and human health from harmful pesticides. Pesticides lag behind other sustainability issues in the sector. While 24 of 25 top food retailers have policies related to energy and climate, just thirteen have taken steps in the right direction on agricultural pesticides.

The choices these powerful companies make could determine whether bees and butterflies, as well as many other beneficial insects, will exist in the future. Scientists warn of “catastrophic ecosystem collapse” if we don’t save these small but important creatures by changing the way we farm.⁵ From birds to fish to people, insects are the basis of the food webs that feed us. They are essential to maintaining a livable planet.

Biodiversity solutions are climate solutions

It's time for grocery stores to create policies that reflect the urgency of the biodiversity crisis. These pollinator solutions will also be climate solutions. When grocery retailers commit to truly shift their supply chains away from pesticide-intensive agriculture to organic and other science-based ecological farming systems, they will not only protect pollinators, they will reduce their climate impact and help farmers cope with climate change. That's because pesticides — a term that encompasses insecticides, herbicides and fungicides — are a cornerstone of an industrial agricultural system that is decimating biodiversity, producing massive greenhouse gas emissions and destroying the soil and water resources that we need to grow food.⁶ Environmental harm caused by industrial farming costs the world \$3 trillion each year according to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization.⁷

The energy-intensive process of pesticide manufacturing alone accounts for approximately 10% of the total energy used for growing crops.⁸ Therefore, grocery retailers should count pesticide reduction as an important part of their energy and greenhouse gas reduction goals.

Pesticide reduction is also key to regenerative agriculture. Building healthy soils that can serve as a carbon sink requires reducing pesticide use, as [pesticides harm the soil](#) organisms that are central to the process of soil carbon sequestration. Pesticides harm soil invertebrates in 71% of cases studied according to a peer-reviewed meta-review co-authored by Friends of the Earth.⁹ Invertebrates play a critical role in converting the carbon in plants into soil organic matter.

Building healthy, living soils also helps mitigate the effects of climate change on farmers since healthy soils conserve water and significantly increase farmers' resilience in the face of climate-related droughts and floods.^{10,11}

Friends of the Earth's [research](#) on pesticides and soil invertebrates reveals the science on why pesticide reduction is a key part of regenerative agriculture, which can draw carbon down from the atmosphere to the soil.

Grocery stores have a major role to play in moving from pesticide-intensive agriculture to the food system of the future

Food retailers have enormous market power and influence over the food system. The top six companies, Walmart, Costco, Kroger, Target, Albertsons, and Ahold Delhaize, command an estimated \$628 billion in annual grocery sales, representing 78% of the \$805 billion food retail sector.

Grocery stores are also growing their private label brands, which creates more opportunities to directly influence practices in their supply chains. Private label sales now make up 29% of sales in the grocery sector.¹²



Market leadership is critical because our federal pesticide policy system is broken

U.S. agriculture uses more than 1.1 billion pounds of pesticides annually, representing approximately 15% of total global pesticide usage.¹³ The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) allows use of many pesticides banned in other countries — 72 that have been banned in the European Union, 17 in Brazil and 11 in China.¹⁴ Between 2017-2018, the EPA approved over 100 new pesticide products containing ingredients widely deemed to be highly hazardous.¹⁵ And more than 35% of pesticides sold globally are considered “highly hazardous” to pollinators and/or people.¹⁶ Despite a large and growing body of scientific data on the health and environmental damage wrought by pesticides, the U.S. government’s food and agriculture policies subsidize pesticide-intensive agriculture to the tune of billions of dollars while ecological farming solutions are woefully underfunded.¹⁷

Pesticide policies will help companies meet consumer expectations for safe and sustainable food

In the hypercompetitive food retail market, strong policies to expand organic offerings and phase out toxic pesticides are critical ways for retailers to distinguish themselves from the pack. If retailers want to establish themselves as leaders on health and sustainability, they need to set clear goals to phase out toxic pesticides in their supply chains. According to [polling](#) by YouGov commissioned by Friends of the Earth, 83% of Americans believe it is important to eliminate pesticides that are harmful to pollinators from agriculture, and 74% believe grocery stores should support efforts to protect pollinators, such as bees and butterflies. 81% want their food to be free of pesticide residues and 67% feel it is important that the grocery store they shop at sells organic food.

Consumer opinions on pollinators, pesticides and organic food	
Pollinator Protection	
Agree that it is important to eliminate pesticides that are harmful to pollinators from agriculture.	83%
Agree that grocery stores should support efforts to protect pollinators.	74%
Human Health	
Agree that it is important to eliminate pesticides that are harmful to human health from agriculture.	83%
Want their food to be free of pesticides.	81%
Shopping Preferences	
Feel it is important that the grocery store they shop at sells organic food.	67%
More likely to shop at a grocery store that made a formal commitment to eliminate harmful pesticides from its food supply if distance and price were not a factor.	65%
More likely to shop at a grocery store that made a formal commitment to protect pollinators if distance and price were not a factor.	63%
Results from YouGov Plc. poll of 1,454 U.S. adults commissioned by Friends of the Earth carried out online June 2nd - 3rd 2021. The figures have been weighted and are representative of all US adults.	




THE PROBLEM

**U.S. beekeepers
lost over**

55%

**of their colonies
this year.**



More than 80% of flowering plants and 75% of all food crops depend on pollinators. Of those, bees are nature's best. But 25% of wild bee species have not been seen since the 1990s.¹⁸ And U.S. beekeepers lost over 55% of their colonies last year.¹⁹ Research shows that 40% of invertebrate pollinators like bees and butterflies face extinction.²⁰

Bees are the canaries in the cornfields showing us that U.S. agriculture is on a deadly track. Along with pollinators, pesticides harm a wide range of beneficial insects that allow crops to thrive and that make up the basis of healthy ecosystems. Forty percent of insect species face extinction in coming decades according to a recent meta-analysis, leading the authors to warn of "catastrophic ecosystem collapse" if we don't change the way we farm.²¹

Pesticides threaten the health of people, from farmworkers to eaters

Pesticide exposure is linked to cancers, asthma, neurodevelopmental disorders like autism and ADHD and to adult neurological diseases like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.^{22,23,24,25} Exposure is also associated with endocrine disruption which is linked to a range of health problems including increased risk of obesity, diabetes and reproductive disorders.^{26,27} There are more pesticides on our food now than a decade ago, and we can't just wash these pesticides off our food. More than 90% of Americans have detectable pesticides in their bodies, and government testing finds at least 29 different pesticides in the average American.^{28,29} Farmworkers can be exposed to pesticides at levels hundreds of times higher than consumers. Farmers, farmworkers and their families have higher rates of acute poisonings, cancers, birth defects, asthma, infertility, autism and other neurological and reproductive problems.³⁰

**Friends of the Earth found
21 toxic pesticides in Target's
Good & Gather baby food,
including 8 banned in the EU.**

Pesticide-intensive agriculture is failing to deliver on its primary goal: controlling pests

Since the widespread introduction of synthetic pesticides after World War II, hundreds of insect and weed species have developed resistance.³¹ This has created a "pesticide treadmill" in which farmers spray more often and use more toxic pesticides to deal with resistant pests.³² Despite drastic and costly increases in pesticide use, research shows that farmers are losing more of their crops to pests today than they did in the 1940s.^{33,34}



ECONOMIC RISKS OF PESTICIDE USE & POLLINATOR DECLINES

Food costs are predicted to increase as pollinator populations decline

Pollinators are a cornerstone of a dependable food supply. They contribute approximately \$34 billion to the U.S. economy and up to \$577 billion to the global economy annually.^{35,36} Honey bees alone contribute an estimated \$20 billion to the U.S. economy^{37,38} and \$217 billion to the global economy.³⁹ Pollinator decline presents a material risk for the supply chains of food retailers.⁴⁰ Food costs are predicted to rise as managed and wild pollinator populations decline.⁴¹ Research indicates that pollinator loss has already resulted in decreased production of key crops like apples, cherries and tomatoes in the United States.⁴²

Pesticides are costly inputs

U.S. farmers spend approximately \$15 billion on pesticides annually.^{43,44} Every year, North American farmers spend approximately \$782 million on neonicotinoids alone — the pesticide class most strongly linked to pollinator declines.⁴⁵ These expenditures could be invested in alternative pest management strategies instead.⁴⁶

Farmers are paying more than ever to hire managed honey bees

Managed honey bees have a value of \$15 to \$20 billion in North American agriculture, and demand for pollinator-dependent crops in the U.S is at an all-time high.⁴⁷ However, losses of honey bee colonies since 2004 has left North America with fewer managed pollinators than at any time in the last 50 years, with commercial beekeepers consistently reporting annual losses of 29-45%, far exceeding the historical rate of 10-15%.⁴⁸ In 2021, U.S. beekeepers reported 45% losses in the previous year.⁴⁹ High colony loss rates require beekeepers to rapidly rebuild their colonies at substantial expense, which increases the costs of commercial pollination for farmers. For instance, the cost of renting honey bee hives for almond pollination tripled from 2003 to 2009 from approximately \$50 per hive to \$150 - \$175.⁵⁰

Farmers are increasingly paying for an ecosystem service that wild pollinators could provide for free

The market for managed bees is expanding due to the increasing scarcity of wild pollinators since the 1980's.⁵¹ In other words, growers are increasingly paying costly commercial pollinators for an ecosystem service that wild pollinators could perform for free.⁵²

Worldwide, there are an estimated 20,000 species of bees with approximately 3,600 species native to North America.⁵³ Native species play a vital role in pollinating agricultural crops in the United States and are often more efficient pollinators than non native species, such as honey bees.⁵⁴ According to an analysis across five continents, wild bees contributed approximately \$3,251 per hectare of crops including watermelon, tomato, cranberry, and blueberry.⁵⁵ Researchers estimate that the countries most impacted by wild pollinator declines are in the global South, particularly Africa.⁵⁶

The U.S. food retail sector faces \$219 billion in financial, climate, and biodiversity risks from pesticide use on four key crops according to a [report](#) commissioned by Friends of the Earth.

One analysis estimated that declining managed and wild pollinator populations could result in a \$10.5 billion loss to farmers and a \$334 billion loss to the whole economy including price and household effects.⁵⁷



Pesticides' harm to beneficial insects results in reduced crop yields

Pesticides often harm or kill neutral or useful organisms in an ecosystem, including pollinators. Thus, pesticides can reduce yields rather than bolster them by harming beneficial insects. As one example, certain pesticides targeted at early-season pests, such as maggots, have been found to increase other pest populations that feed on crops, subsequently reducing yields.⁵⁸ Yield reduction associated with pesticide use results in an estimated \$1.4 billion loss in the U.S alone with greater losses at a global level.⁵⁹

Pesticide use results in weed and insect resistance which increases farmers' costs

Since the widespread introduction of synthetic pesticides to agriculture in the 1950s, approximately 368 weed varieties and over 600 insect species have developed resistance to commonly used pesticides.^{60,61} For example, due to widespread use of glyphosate in conjunction with genetically engineered Roundup Ready™ crops, “superweeds” now plague more than 60 million acres of U.S. farmland.⁶²

Weed and insect resistance perpetuates a cycle of pesticide use — 90% of Iowa farmers report feeling that “pest management is a never-ending technology treadmill.”⁶³ As a result, farmers experience an increase in production costs due to more intensive and frequent application of pesticides.^{64,65} For example, pesticide costs for Illinois corn farmers rose 67% from 2012 to 2017 largely due to the prevalence of herbicide-resistant weeds, and herbicide costs have more than doubled for most farmers over the past 10 to 15 years.^{66, 67}

Investors recognize the economic importance of pesticide reduction and pollinator protection

Pesticide use is associated with a \$1.4 billion loss in crop yields resulting from harm to beneficial insects.

In a comprehensive analysis of the issue, global asset manager, Schroders, urges investors to engage with companies to develop plans to halt and reverse pollinator declines given the scope of pollinator-dependent products.⁶⁸ Some shareholders have prioritized pesticide reduction as a sustainability goal. Shareholder advocacy has resulted in company programs such as McDonald's efforts to reduce pesticide use in its potato supply chain.⁶⁹ And in 2018, nearly one third of shareholders called on General Mills to eliminate pesticides like pollinator-toxic neonicotinoids from its supply chain.⁷⁰ Such efforts speak to the urgency of pollinator declines and to the growing recognition of concomitant economic risks.

THE SOLUTION

[Decades of research](#) show that we need a rapid shift to ecological agriculture to feed all people sustainability, now and into the future.⁷¹

A growing body of science shows that farmers who rely on ecological methods for pest management instead of pesticides can outperform their conventional counterparts in terms of yield and profits.^{72,73,74,75} Rather than toxic chemicals, these farmers build healthy soils that confer greater pest immunity to plants and increase biodiversity in their farming systems to disrupt the growth of pests and to foster natural predators. This includes crop rotations, cover cropping, composting, reducing tillage and planting habitat for beneficial insects.

Ecological farming methods reduce risk to pollinators and work in tune with ecological processes in diverse agricultural landscapes.⁷⁶ A study conducted on 949 commercial farms in France concluded that reducing pesticide use does not harm profitability or productivity.⁷⁷ Another study found that regenerative farming approaches in the U.S. can be up to 78% more profitable than chemical-intensive farm systems.⁷⁸ Researchers have found that an abundance and diversity of insects can improve productivity and yield outcomes, according to a global synthesis with 1,475 field sites.⁷⁹

The same science-based ecological farming methods underpin organic agriculture, Integrated Pest Management, and regenerative agriculture. Of these methods, only organic is governed by a robust federal law which prohibits the use of the vast majority of pesticides.

- **Organic:** Organic food is certified through robust standards governed by federal law under the [USDA National Organic Program](#). These standards prohibit most synthetic pesticides, including an estimated 900 pesticides otherwise allowed in agriculture. The standards also prohibit GMOs (genetically modified organisms), synthetic fertilizers, irradiation and sewage sludge. In organic dairy and meat, the use of antibiotics, growth hormones and arsenic-based drugs is strictly prohibited, while over 450 drugs are allowed in non-organic production. The organic standards also require farmers to manage their land in ways that protect soil, water, and biodiversity.

- **Integrated Pest Management (IPM):** IPM is a pest management framework that minimizes pesticide use and risks by relying on inspection and monitoring to detect and correct conditions that could lead to pest problems; implementing biological, cultural and physical strategies to prevent and suppress pest populations; using chemical controls only as a last resort and when economically justified; and assessing pesticide risks and prioritizing the lowest risk options.
- **Regenerative agriculture:** Meaningful approaches to regenerative agriculture use ecological farming methods to build healthy soil that can sequester carbon and conserve water resources by minimizing soil disturbance and keeping the soil covered; increasing diversity within the farming system; reducing synthetic inputs; and integrating crop and livestock systems when appropriate.

Science shows that farmers who rely on ecological methods for pest management instead of pesticides can outperform their conventional counterparts in terms of yield and profits.



WHAT CAN RETAILERS DO?

Grocery stores must support the expansion of organic farming in the U.S. and beyond. At the same time, they must support the non-organic farmers they source from to eliminate toxic pesticides by shifting to ecological farming methods, which reduce the need for pesticides in the first place. Companies must also make agrochemical input reduction central to all “regenerative” and “climate-smart” agriculture initiatives. These actions would be a huge win for pollinators, people and the planet.

Friends of the Earth is asking grocery stores to:



REDUCE PESTICIDES

Establish a pollinator protection policy that includes the phase out of neonicotinoids, glyphosate, organophosphates and other pollinator-toxic pesticides as well as implementation of least-toxic pest management strategies in the company's supply chain.



GROW ORGANIC

Increase USDA certified organic food and beverages to 15% of overall offerings or sales by 2025, prioritizing U.S. farmers.



INCREASE TRANSPARENCY

Publicly disclose company policies and progress related to these actions.



Prioritize pesticides of concern

Many of the pesticides commonly used in U.S. agriculture are toxic to bees and other beneficial insects. Among the pesticides that are of highest concern are neonicotinoids, glyphosate and organophosphates, including chlorpyrifos.

Since we began using neonicotinoids 25 years ago, U.S. agriculture has become 48 times more toxic to bees and other insects according to a peer-reviewed study co-authored by Friends of the Earth.⁸⁰ The EPA found that the three most commonly used neonicotinoids jeopardize the continued existence of over 200 threatened and endangered species.

Organophosphates are a heavily used group of insecticides, many of which are highly acutely toxic to bees.⁸¹

Glyphosate is decimating monarch butterfly populations by destroying the milkweed plants their young depend on.⁸² Glyphosate is also linked to declines in bee populations and threatens 93% of endangered species.^{83,84}

U.S. agriculture is 48 times more toxic to bees and other beneficial insects since neonicotinoids were introduced according to a peer-reviewed study authored by Friends of the Earth.



Expand organic offerings

Organic is the gold standard for pesticide reduction. Organic farmers grow abundant food without the use of an estimated 900 pesticide active ingredients allowed in non-organic farming, including neonicotinoids, glyphosate and organophosphates.⁸⁵ Research shows that organic farming benefits pollinators, people and the planet.

- Pollinators: Organic farming can help reverse pollinator declines.⁸⁶ Organic farms support up to 50% more pollinating species than pesticide-intensive farms and help other beneficial insects flourish.^{87,88,89}
- Health: An organic diet rapidly and dramatically reduces people's exposure to toxic pesticides and protects farmers and farmworkers from exposure.^{90,91,92}
- Climate: Organic farming systems conserve water, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, sequester carbon in the soil and increase farmers' resilience in the face of drought and floods.^{93,94,95,96}

Pesticide levels in people's bodies dropped up to 95% after just one week on an organic diet according to a peer-reviewed study co-authored by Friends of the Earth.



Grow U.S. organic

U.S. production of organic crops is not keeping pace with growing consumer demand.⁹⁷ The gap is increasingly being filled by tens of millions of dollars' worth of imports.⁹⁸ Grocery retailers can help expand organic agriculture in the U.S. by supporting farmers during transition, prioritizing products from domestic organic farmers, and pushing for public policies to advance the U.S. organic sector. This would be a win-win-win: retailers will ensure a steady supply of high-quality organic products, U.S. farmers and local communities will get an economic boost and U.S. agriculture will become more pollinator- and climate-friendly.⁹⁹



Support non-organic farmers to shift to least-toxic approaches

Pesticide reduction goals in non-organic supply chains must go hand-in-hand with helping farmers to adopt the ecological farming methods that underpin robust integrated pest management and regenerative agriculture systems. These farming methods reduce the overall need for pesticides. Phasing out hazardous pesticides without shifting production practices is likely to result in regrettable substitution: replacing one type of hazardous pesticide with another.



HOW COMPANIES SHAPE UP

Grocery stores can use their enormous market power to reduce the use of toxic pesticides on farms in the U.S. and around the world and bolster farmers' ability to rapidly transition to organic and ecological farming systems.

To highlight leaders and laggards, Friends of the Earth created a retailer scorecard benchmarking 25 of the largest U.S. grocery stores against four criteria related to pesticide use in their food and beverage supply chains: policies, implementation, transparency and collaboration.¹ Additional points were awarded for companies with complimentary pesticide policies in their home and garden supply chains.

We looked at whether these companies are setting goals to reduce use of key toxic pesticides, what they are doing to increase organic offerings and whether they are taking steps to support non-organic farmers to shift to least-toxic approaches. We also looked at whether they are educating consumers about these issues and if they are using their power to advocate for public policies that shift government support from pesticide-intensive agriculture to organic and ecological farming systems.



¹ For the evaluation, we reviewed publicly available information, including company websites, annual reports, SEC filings, corporate social responsibility and sustainability reports, press coverage and industry analyses. We sent a provisional grade and scoresheet to each company by email and gave companies three months to respond with updates and corrections. The following companies responded or were in contact with Friends of the Earth in the past year: Ahold Delhaize, Amazon, Costco, CVS, Dollar Tree, Giant Eagle, Kroger, Meijer, Walmart, and Whole Foods.

Key Findings



The U.S. food retail industry reached a tipping point in 2024 — more than half of the largest U.S. food retailers now have policies addressing pesticides to protect bees and biodiversity.

Thirteen of the 25 companies ranked on the [Bee-Friendly Retailer Scorecard](#) now have [policies](#) that encourage food and beverage suppliers to reduce use of pesticides of concern — including neonicotinoids, organophosphates and glyphosate — and to shift to least-toxic approaches: Albertsons, Aldi, Costco, CVS, Dollar Tree, Giant Eagle, Kroger, Meijer, Rite Aid, Southeastern Grocers, Target, Walmart, and Whole Foods. The policies also recognize organic agriculture as protective of pollinator health, and several state the companies' commitments to expand organic offerings.



Five companies now have meaningful, timebound commitments to address pesticides in their fresh produce supply chains.

In the past year, three companies released new or updated policies with timebound, measurable commitments to take action on pesticides — Whole Foods, Kroger, and Meijer. They joined Walmart and Giant Eagle. These five companies require all fresh produce suppliers to adopt ecological farming methods known as integrated pest management (IPM), as verified by a vetted list of third-party certifications, within stated timelines. IPM reduces the need for pesticides by implementing non-chemical approaches to pest management. As a result, Whole Foods moved from a “B-” to an “A”, Kroger from a “D-” to a “C”, and Meijer from a “D” to a “B-”.



U.S. grocery retailers face billions in financial, climate, and biodiversity risks from pesticides. Industry efforts fall far short of what is needed to address these risks.

A new [report](#) commissioned by Friends of the Earth finds that U.S. food retailers face \$219 billion in financial risks if they continue allowing toxic pesticides in their supply chains through 2050. Along with operational, financing, and reputation risks the companies face, the analysis details \$4.5 billion in climate damage costs and \$34 billion in biodiversity risks associated with pesticide use in domestic production of just four key crops — apples, almonds, corn, and soy. Given the vulnerability of food production to environmental disruption, these risks are significant not only for the companies themselves but for the US food supply. Companies must make measurable commitments to phase out toxic pesticides immediately.

	Retailer	Grade	Points
	Whole Foods Market	A	133
	Giant Eagle	B+	115
	Walmart	B-	94
	Meijer	B-	86.5
	Costco	C	70.5
	Kroger	C	70
	CVS	D+	51.5
	Aldi (US)	D+	47.5
	Dollar Tree	D	43
	Trader Joe's	D	42
	Southeastern Grocers	D	38
	Rite Aid	D	36
	Ahold Delhaize	D-	32.5
	Albertsons	D-	31
	Target	D-	28
	Wegmans	F	17
	Amazon.com	F	15
	BJ's Wholesale Club	F	9
	H-E-B	F	9
	Walgreens	F	5
	Hy-Vee	F	5
	Dollar General	F	0
	7-Eleven Inc.	F	0
	Publix	F	0
	Wakefern Food	F	0



Major grocery retailers don't know which pesticides are being used in their supply chains or how much is being used.

Just six companies have taken first steps on pesticide tracking. Walmart encourages fresh produce suppliers to annually report use of nitroguanidine neonicotinoids and chlorpyrifos. Costco and Whole Foods have pilot-level pesticide tracking programs through their participation in the Equitable Food Initiative. Aldi requires suppliers of key commodities to disclose whether they use chlorpyrifos and neonicotinoids. CVS conducted a pilot pesticide analysis in key own brand commodity chains. Ahold Delhaize reports a pilot-level effort to track suppliers' pesticide use. These types of initiatives must be scaled industry-wide.



Major grocery retailers must support conventional growers to shift to least-toxic pest management practices.

Just six companies are taking steps to support conventional growers to shift to least-toxic approaches. Walmart, Kroger, Whole Foods, Meijer, and Giant Eagle are requiring all fresh produce suppliers to adopt ecological farming methods called integrated pest management (IPM) verified by a third-party certification. Meijer is also partnering with academic researchers to provide educational opportunities for suppliers to learn about least-toxic pest management strategies. Costco and Whole Foods report having pilot-level programs in place to provide training and other meaningful support to non-organic growers to shift to least-toxic approaches through the Equitable Food Initiative.



Companies must disclose organic sales data and include organic sales in formal sustainability goals.

Most companies are not disclosing their organic sales data, which makes it difficult to assess their growth and competitive advantage in this marketplace. Only Whole Foods, Trader Joe's, Costco, Walmart, CVS, and Meijer provided organic sales data. Trader Joe's publicly reports that over 20% of overall grocery products sold are organic. The following companies reported organic sales data to Friends of the Earth: Whole Foods reports that over 30% of overall sales are organic, Walmart reported organic sales for all grocery, Meijer and Costco reported organic sales for produce and CVS reported organic sales for own brand food and beverages. None of the companies we evaluated include organic sales or pesticide reduction in formal sustainability criteria.



Companies must report organic and "natural" sales separately.

Organic is a robust, federally regulated standard that prohibits over 900 pesticides otherwise allowed in agriculture whereas "natural" is not a regulated label claim, has no clear definition, and has no meaning in relation to use of pesticides or other synthetic inputs in farming. We recommend that companies track and report organic and "natural" sales separately to provide more transparency around organic sales data.



Whole Foods and Trader Joe's lead major grocery retailers on organic sales.

It is difficult to compare organic sales between retailers. While some of the largest retailers can claim the highest total organic sales, we attempt to evaluate the extent to which companies have made organic products central to their business model by assessing organic as a percent of overall products or sales.





Independent grocery stores far surpass the largest U.S. food retailers on organic sales.

In 2020, we conducted a survey of 36 independent food retailers across the country to provide insight on companies that have made organic central to their values and business. Ninety-four percent of these retailers (34 of 36) report exceeding the benchmark that Friends of the Earth has challenged top grocery retailers to meet: increasing certified organic offerings to 15% of total sales or products. Sixty-four percent (23 of 36) report that over 50% of their total sales are organic. These retailers are leading the way on offering consumers bee-friendly food and are helping to reduce the use of toxic pesticides on farms in the U.S. and beyond.



Companies must support the expansion of organic agriculture in the U.S.

This is critical, as U.S. farmers are currently being left behind as demand for organic food far outstrips supply. Only Whole Foods, Costco, Wegmans, and Giant Co., a subsidiary of Ahold Delhaize, report taking measures to expand U.S. production of organic food. Whole Foods reports the most extensive set of practices supporting U.S. organic growers, including committing to price floors for farmers in transition to organic, providing financial support for organic and family-scale farmers via loans and investing resources in educating suppliers about organic practices and how to transition. Whole Foods was also the only company to report that they advocate for federal policies that support



strong organic standards and increased funding for organic research. Costco reports working with U.S. farmers and ranchers to transition land to organic production. Wegmans operates its own research-oriented organic farm to educate farmers about best practices. Giant Co., a subsidiary of Ahold Delhaize, established a partnership with organic pioneer Rodale Institute to support farmers seeking to transition to organic farming and research connecting human health with soil health.



Twelve companies have pesticide commitments in their home and garden supply chains.

Agriculture accounts for the vast majority of pesticide use, however, companies are also taking important steps to protect the health of pollinators and people in their home and garden supply chains. Five companies have committed to end sales of Roundup and other glyphosate-based pesticides, Ahold Delhaize, Costco, CVS, Dollar Tree, and Giant Eagle. Five are taking action on neonicotinoid products, Costco, CVS, Dollar Tree, and Giant Eagle no longer sell neonic products and Walmart has eliminated almost all garden products containing neonics. Whole Foods and Walgreens report that they never sold garden products containing glyphosate or neonics. In addition, five stores have committed to eliminate the sourcing of plants and/or flowers that have been treated with neonicotinoids, Meijer, Dollar Tree, Kroger, Giant Eagle, and BJ's Wholesale Club, and four have issued statements encouraging live plant and/or flower suppliers to phase out neonicotinoids, Aldi, Costco, Southeastern Grocers, and Target.



CONCLUSION

It is time for grocery retailers to implement pesticide policies that reflect the interrelated biodiversity and climate crises we're facing. Pesticides contribute directly to both crises. They are responsible for widespread harm to biodiversity, including pollinators, which are required to maintain a third of our food supply, and soil organisms, which are central to building healthy soil, sequestering carbon, conserving water, and improving farmers' climate resilience. Moreover, pesticides are derived from fossil fuels, the production and use of which are significant drivers of agriculture-related greenhouse gas emissions. Pesticides also pose devastating risks to human health, from consumers to the farmers, farmworkers, and rural communities that are on the frontlines of exposure.

US food retailers must urgently reduce and eliminate the use of toxic pesticides in their supply chains to address the threat that pesticides pose to biodiversity, the climate, and human health. To do so, food retailers must support the expansion of organic farming in the U.S. and beyond. At the same time, they must support the non-organic farmers they source from to eliminate use of toxic pesticides by shifting to ecological farming methods, which reduce the need for pesticides in the first place. Companies must also make agrochemical input reduction a central pillar of all "regenerative" and "climate-smart" agriculture initiatives.

These actions will create a more regenerative and resilient food system and will meet growing consumer demand for transparency, health, and sustainability.



Endnotes

- 1 Goulson, Dave. 2019. Insect Declines and Why They Matter. Commissioned by the Southwest Wildlife Trusts. <https://www.somersetwildlife.org/sites/default/files/2019-11/FULL%20AFI%20REPORT%20WEB%201.pdf>
- 2 Sánchez-Bayo, F. and Wyckhuys, K.A., 2019. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. *Biological conservation*, 232, pp.8-27.
- 3 IPBES. 2019. Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. <https://ipbes.net/global-assessment>
- 4 United Nations Environment Programme. "Global Honey Bee Colony Disorders and Other Threats to Insect Pollinators." UNEP. 2010. Web. <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/unep-emerging-issues-global-honey-bee-colony-disorder-and-other-threats-insect>
- 5 Sánchez-Bayo, F. and Wyckhuys, K.A., 2019. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. *Biological conservation*, 232, pp.8-27.
- 6 FAO. 2015. Natural Capital Impacts in Agriculture: Supporting Better Decision Making. UN FAO: Rome, Italy.
- 7 UN Food and Agriculture Organization. 2015. Natural Capital Impacts in Agriculture. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/nr/sustainability_pathways/docs/Natural_Capital_Impacts_in_Agriculture_final.pdf
- 8 Pimentel, D., 2006. Impacts of organic farming on the efficiency of energy use in agriculture. *An organic center state of science review*. pp.1-40..
- 9 Gunstone, T., Cornelisse, T., Klein, K., Dubey, A., & Donley, N. 2021. Pesticides and Soil Invertebrates: A Hazard Assessment. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 9, 122.
- 10 Rhodes, C.J., 2017. The imperative for regenerative agriculture. *Science progress*, 100(1), pp.80-129.
- 11 Niles, M. 2008. Sustainable soils: reducing, mitigating, and adapting to climate change with organic agriculture. *Sustainable Dev. L. & Pol'y*, 9, 19.
- 12 Tyko, Kelly. 2019. Target launches new Good & Gather food brand Sunday. USA Today. August 19. <https://www.usatoday.com/story/money/food/2019/08/19/target-good-gather-new-store-owned-brand-coming-september/1996024001/>
- 13 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2017. Pesticide Industry Sales and Usage 2008 – 2012. January. <https://www.epa.gov/pesticides/pesticides-in-dustry-sales-and-usage-2008-2012-market-estimates>
- 14 Donley, N., 2019. The USA lags behind other agricultural nations in banning harmful pesticides. *Environmental Health*, 18(1), p.44.
- 15 Donley, Nathan. 2020. Toxic Hangover: How the EPA is approving new products with dangerous pesticides it committed to phasing out. Center for Biological Diversity. January. https://www.biologicaldiversity.org/campaigns/pesticides_reduction/pdfs/Toxic-Hangover.pdf
- 16 Dowler, C. 2020. The pesticide giants making billions on toxic and bee-harming chemicals. *Unearthed*. Retrieved from <https://unearthed.greenpeace.org/2020/02/20/pesticides-croplife-hazardous-bayer-syngenta-health-bees/>
- 17 DeLonge, M.S., Miles, A. and Carlisle, L., 2016. Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 55, pp.266-273.
- 18 Zattara, E. E., & Aizen, M. A. 2021. Worldwide occurrence records suggest a global decline in bee species richness. *One Earth*, 4(1), 114-123.
- 19 Apiary Inspectors of America. 2024. 2023-2024 U.S. Beekeeping Survey. <https://apiaryinspectors.org/US-beekeeping-survey>
- 20 Gilbert, Natasha 2016. Global biodiversity report warns pollinators are under threat. *Nature*. February 26. <https://www.nature.com/news/global-biodiversity-report-warns-pollinators-are-under-threat-1.19456>
- 21 Sánchez-Bayo, F. and Wyckhuys, K.A., 2019. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. *Biological conservation*, 232, pp.8-27.
- 22 Gilden, R. C., Huffling, K., & Sattler, B. 2010. Pesticides and health risks. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*. 39(1), 103-110.
- 23 Bassil, K. L. and Vakil, C., et al.. 2007. Cancer health effects of pesticides: systematic review. *Canadian Family Physician*. 53(10), 1704-1711.
- 24 Alavanja, M. C., Hoppin, J. A., & Kamel, F. 2004. Health effects of chronic pesticide exposure: cancer and neurotoxicity. *Annu. Rev. Public Health*. 25, 155-197.
- 25 Eskenazi, B. and Marks, et al. 2007. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and neurodevelopment in young Mexican-American children. *Environmental health perspectives*. 115(5), 792.
- 26 Mendola, P., Messer, L. C., & Rappazzo, K. 2008. Science linking environmental contaminant exposures with fertility and reproductive health impacts in the adult female. *Fertility and sterility*. 89(2), e81-e94.
- 27 Holtcamp, W. 2012. Obesogens: an environmental link to obesity. *Environmental health perspectives*. 120(2), a62.
- 28 CDC. 2018. National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. Online. <https://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/index.html>
- 29 U.S. Centers for Disease Control. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Online. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm>
- 30 Misiewicz, Tracy and Jessica Shade. 2018. Organic Agriculture: Reducing occupational pesticide exposure in farmers and farmworkers. *The Organic Center*. September. <https://www.organic-center.org/sites/default/files/project/2018/09/Reducing-Occupational-Pesticide-Exposure.pdf>
- 31 University of Nebraska-Lincoln Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Weed and Insect Resistance a Growing Problem. Online. <https://crop-watch.unl.edu/weed-and-insect-resistance-growing-problem>
- 32 Pesticide Action Network. The Pesticide Treadmill. <http://www.panna.org/gmos-pesticides-profit/pesticide-treadmill>
- 33 KQED. Evolution. Pesticide Library. Online. https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/10/1/1_101_02.html#:~:text=Farmers%20in%20the%20U.S.%20lost%3A%20the%20best%20adapted%20survive.
- 34 Pimentel, D. and Acquay, H. et al. 1992. Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use. *BioScience*, 42(10), pp.750-760.
- 35 Jordan, Alex, et al. 2021. Economic Dependence and Vulnerability of United States Agricultural Sector on Insect-Mediated Pollination Service. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2021
- 36 Gilbert, Natasha. 2016. Global biodiversity report warns pollinators are under threat. *Nature*. February 26. <https://www.nature.com/news/global-biodiversity-report-warns-pollinators-are-under-threat-1.19456>
- 37 Calderone, Nicholas.W. 2012. Insect Pollinated Crops, Insect Pollinators and US Agriculture: Trend Analysis of Aggregate Data for the Period 1992–2009. *PLoS ONE*. 7(5): e37235. 22 May, 2010. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037235
- 38 Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES). 2016. Press Release: Pollinators Vital to Our Food Supply Under Threat. IPBES Secretariat. 26 February. <http://www.ipbes.net/article/press-release-pollinators-vital-our-food-supply-under-threat>
- 39 Gallai, Nicola, and Salles et al. 2008. Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline." *Ecological Economics*. 68:810–821. 3 August. http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/lameta/articles/5.4.2_POLLINATION.pdf
- 40 Native Pollinators. 2021. Center for Biological Diversity. https://www.biologicaldiversity.org/campaigns/native_pollinators/index.html
- 41 Bedada, A.H., 2020. CAHFS Weekly Topic: Bee decline – A great concern for U.S. food security. University of Minnesota: Center for Animal Health and Food Safety. <https://cahfs.umn.edu/news/cahfs-weekly-topic-bee-decline-great-concern-us-food-security>
- 42 United States Geological Survey. 2021. How many species of native bees are in the United States? (2021). USGS: Science for a Changing World. Retrieved from https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/how-many-species-native-bees-are-united-states?qt-news_science_products=0#qt-news_science_products
- 43 Gro Intelligence. 2018. A Look at Fertilizer and Pesticide Use in the U.S. June 11. <https://gro-intelligence.com/insights/articles/a-look-at-fertilizer-and-pesticide-use-in-the-us#Pesticides>
- 44 USDA. Online. 2021 Farm Sector Income Forecast. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/farm-economy/farm-sector-income-finances/farm-sector-income-forecast/>

- 45 The Value of Neonicotinoids in American Agriculture: Estimated Impact of Neonicotinoid Insecticides on Pest Management Practices and Costs for U.S. Corn, Soybean, Wheat, Cotton and Sorghum Farm. 2014. AgInformatics. <https://growingmatters.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/report-ag-infomatics-estimated-impact-of-neonicotinoids-in-ag-2014.pdf>
- 46 Wild Bee Conservation. 2021. Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation.
- 47 Get the Facts: Economic Value of Beekeeping in California. 2011. Pesticide Action Network : North America.
- 48 Center for Food Safety, Beyond Pesticides, & Pesticide Action Network. (2013). Economic Value of Commercial Beekeeping. . Retrieved from <https://www.beyondpesticides.org/assets/media/documents/pollinators/EconomicValueUpdate.pdf>
- 49 Bee Informed Partnership. (online). 2020-2021 Managed Colony Loss Report. <https://beeinformed.org/2021/06/21/united-states-honey-bee-colony-losses-2020-2021-preliminary-results/>
- 50 Obama White House. 2014. Factsheet: The Economic Challenge Posed by Declining Pollinator Populations. <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2014/06/20/fact-sheet-economic-challenge-posed-declining-pollinator-populations>
- 51 Nash, B. J. 2009. Honeybees: Market for pollination services grow. Region Focus. Retrieved from https://www.richmondfed.org/-/media/richmondfedorg/publications/research/econ_focus/2009/spring/pdf/feature1.pdf
- 52 Finck-Haynes, T., Klein, K., & Davidson, J. 2018. Swarming the Aisles II: Rating top retailers on pesticide reduction and organic food to protect pollinators. N.p.: Friends of the Earth. Retrieved from https://www.richmondfed.org/-/media/richmondfedorg/publications/research/econ_focus/2009/spring/pdf/feature1.pdf
- 53 Wild Bee Conservation. 2021. Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation.
- 54 How many species of native bees are in the United States? (2021). USGS: Science for a Changing World. https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/how-many-species-native-bees-are-united-states?qt-news_science_products=0#qt-news_science_products
- 55 Kleijn, D., Winfree, R., Bartomeus, I., Carvalho, L. G., Henry, M., Isaacs, R., ... & Potts, S. G. 2015. Delivery of crop pollination services is an insufficient argument for wild pollinator conservation. Nature communications, 6(1), 1-9.
- 56 ibid
- 57 Bauer, D. M., & Sue Wing, I. 2010. Economic consequences of pollinator declines: a synthesis. Agricultural and Resource Economics Review, 39(1203-2016-95444), 368-383.
- 58 Penn State. 2014. Insecticides foster 'toxic' slugs, reduce crop yields. ScienceDaily. www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/12/141204121436.html
- 59 Pimental, D., & Burgess, M. 2014. Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. In Integrated pest management pp. 47-71. Springer, Dordrecht.
- 60 University of Nebraska-Lincoln Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Weed and Insect Resistance a Growing Problem. <https://cropwatch.unl.edu/weed-and-insect-resistance-growing-problem>.
- 61 Buhler, W. Understanding Resistance. 2021. Pesticide Environmental Stewardship. <https://pesticidestewardship.org/resistance/understanding-resistance/>
- 62 Mortenson, D.A., Egan, J.F., Maxwell, B.D., Ryan, M.R., & Smith, R.G. 2012. Navigating a critical juncture for sustainable weed management. BioScience, 62(1), 75-84
- 63 KQED. Evolution. Pesticide Library. http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/10/1/1_101_02.html
- 64 Pesticide resistance needs attention, large-scale study. 2018..North Carolina State University. <https://phys.org/news/2018-05-pesticide-resistance-attention-large-scale.html>
- 65 Popp, J., Peto, K., & Nagy, J. 2013. Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. Agronomy for sustainable development, 33(1), 243-255.
- 66 Schnitkey, G. 2018. Weekly Farm Economics: Historic Fertilizer, Seed and Chemical Cost with 2019 projections. Department of Agriculture and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois. <https://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2018/06/historic-fertilizer-seed-and-chemical-costs>. Html#:~:text=There%20was%20a%20increase,%247320per%20acre%20in%202017.
- 67 Brown, Claire. 2021. Attack of the Superweeds. New York Times Magazine. August 18. <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/08/18/magazine/superweeds-monsanto.html?referringSource=articleShare>
- 68 Stathers, Rick. 2014. "The Bee and the Stockmarket." Schroders. (2014). Web. https://www.schroders.com/en/sysglobalassets/staticfiles/schroders/sites/global/pdf/the_bee_and_the_stockmarket.pdfhttps://c.na32.content.force.com/servlet/servlet.ImageServer?id=01550000001F-X-SpAAK&oid=QOD300000000M2BEAU
- 69 Nason, D. 2020. Shareholders challenge the companies they invest in to promote good in the world. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/11/30/shareholders-challenge-firms-they-invest-in-to-promote-good-in-the-world.html>
- 70 Shareholders Urge General Mills to Stop Pesticide Use in Its Supply Chain, Popular Products. (2018). Beyond Pesticides. Retrieved from <https://beyondpesticides.org/dailynewsblog/2018/10/shareholders-urge-general-mills-to-stop-pesticide-use-in-its-supply-chain-popular-products/>
- 71 Cook, Hamerslag, and Klein. 2016. Farming for the Future: Organic and Agroecological Solutions to Feed the World. Prepared for Friends of the Earth. https://1bps6437gg8c169i0y1drtgz-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/legacy/FOE_Farming_for_the_Future_Final.pdf
- 72 LaCanne, C.E. and Lundgren, J.G., 2018. Regenerative agriculture: merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably. PeerJ, 6, p.e4428.
- 73 Catarino R and Bretagnolle V, et al. 2019. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. Oct 9;286(1912):20191550.
- 74 Heikki M. and Hokkanen, et al. 2017. Long-term yield trends of insect-pollinated crops vary regionally and are linked to neonicotinoid use, landscape complexity and availability of pollinators. Arthropod-Plant Interactions. 11(3): p/ 449-461. 21 April.
- 75 Dainese, M. and Martin et al. 2019. A global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production. bioRxiv, p.554170.
- 76 The importance of bees and other pollinators for food and agriculture. 2018. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/3/i9527en/i9527en.pdf>
- 77 Lechenet, M., Dessaint, F., Py, G., Makowski, D., & Munier-Jolain, N. (2017). Reducing pesticide use while preserving crop productivity and profitability on arable farms. Nature Plants, 3(3), 1-6.
- 78 LaCanne, C. E., & Lundgren, J. G. 2018. Regenerative agriculture: merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably. PeerJ, 6, e4428.
- 79 Dainese, M., Martin, E. A., Aizen, M. A., Albrecht, M., Bartomeus, I., Bommarco, R., ... & Steffan-Dewenter, I. 2019. A global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production. Science advances, 5(10), eaax0121.
- 80 DiBartolomeis, M., Kegley, S., Mineau, P., Radford, R. and Klein, K., 2019. An assessment of acute insecticide toxicity loading (AITL) of chemical pesticides used on agricultural land in the United States. PloS one, 14(8).
- 81 Friends of the Earth. Online. Pesticides with acute toxicity to pollinators. https://1bps6437gg8c169i0y1drtgz-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Pesticides-with-Pollinator-Toxicity_Final-4-2020.pdf
- 82 Perls, Dana and Tiffany Finck-Haynes. 2014. What the Monarchs are Telling Us. Medium. Online. <https://medium.com/foe-us-newsmagazine/what-the-monarchs-are-telling-us-8b20d8b8d467>
- 83 Dai, P., Yan, Z., Ma, S., Yang, Y., Wang, Q., Hou, C., Wu, Y., Liu, Y. and Diao, Q., 2018. The herbicide glyphosate negatively affects midgut bacterial communities and survival of honey bee during larvae reared in vitro. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 66(29), pp.7786-7793.
- 84 Bloch, Sam. 2020. New EPA Finding: Glyphosate harms 93% of endangered species. The Counter. <https://thecounter.org/new-epa-finding-glyphosate-harms-93-percent-endangered-species-esa/>

- 85 Pesticide Action Network. Pesticides 101. Online. <http://www.panna.org/pesticides-big-picture/pesticides-101>
- 86 Carrié, R., Ekroos, J. and Smith, H.G., 2018. Organic farming supports spatiotemporal stability in species richness of bumblebees and butterflies. *Biological conservation*, 227, pp.48-55.
- 87 University of Oxford. 2014. Organic farms support more species. 4 February. Web. <http://www.ox.ac.uk/news/2014-02-04-organic-farms-support-more-species>
- 88 Doreen Gabriel and Teja Tscharntke. 2008. Insect pollinated plants benefit from organic farming, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. EL Sevier. Volume 118, Issues 1-4: 43-48. January. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.04.005>
- 89 Holzschuh, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I. and Tscharntke, T., 2008. Agricultural landscapes with organic crops support higher pollinator diversity. *Oikos*, 117(3), pp.354-361.
- 90 Misiewicz, Tracy and Jessica Shade. 2018. Organic Agriculture: Reducing occupational pesticide exposure in farmers and farmworkers. The Organic Center. September. <https://www.organic-center.org/organic-agriculture-reducing-occupational-pesticide-exposure-farmers-and-farmworkers-0#:~:text=Consumers%20often%20cite%20reduced%20pesticide,prohibiting%20most%20toxic%20synthetic%20pesticides>.
- 91 Bradman, A., et al., 2015. Effect of organic diet intervention on pesticide exposures in young children living in low-income urban and agricultural communities. *Environ Health Perspectives*.
- 92 Hyland, C., Bradman, A. et al. 2019. Organic diet intervention significantly reduces urinary pesticide levels in US children and adults. *Environmental Research*, 171, pp.568-575.
- 93 Ziesemer, Jodi. 2007. Energy Use in Organic Food Systems. UN Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome. <http://www.fbae.org/2009/FBAE/website/images/pdf/important-publication/fao-organic-report.pdf>
- 94 Niles, M. 2008. Sustainable soils: reducing, mitigating, and adapting to climate change with organic agriculture. *Sustainable Dev. L. & Pol'y*, 9, 19.
- 95 Lotter, D. W., Seidel, R., & Liebhardt, W. 2003. The performance of organic and conventional cropping systems in an extreme climate year. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 18(3), 146-154.
- 96 Borron, S. 2006. Building resilience for an unpredictable future: how organic agriculture can help farmers adapt to climate change. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- 97 National Organic Coalition. 2016. Expanding Organic Production in the United States: Challenges and Policy Recommendations. November. <http://www.nationalorganiccoalition.org/LiteratureRetrieve.aspx?ID=135516>
- 98 O'Neil, Colin. 2017. Expanding Opportunities for U.S. Farmers by Supporting Organization Transition. Prepared for Environmental Working Group. March 16. <http://www.ewg.org/research/growing-organic-expanding-opportunities-us-farmers-investing-organic-transition#.WeT-dVtSy5s>
- 99 Kantor, Sylvia. 2015. Organic agriculture more profitable to farmers. Washington State University News. Washington State University. 1 June. <https://news.wsu.edu/2015/06/01/organic-agriculture-more-profitable-to-farmers/>

