

July 13, 2020

SENT VIA EMAIL

Mr. Gary Beecher Project Manager United States Army Corps of Engineers 69 Darlington Avenue Wilmington, NC 28403 Gary.h.beecher@usace.army.mil

Re: Demand for Stop Work Order Regarding Robeson LNG Pipeline Project Due to Invalid 404 Permit; Action Id. SAW-2019-01960

Dear Mr. Beecher,

This letter serves to notify the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps") of the undersigned parties' demand for the Corps to immediately issue a stop work order along with written notification to Piedmont Natural Gas Co. Inc. ("Applicant"), indicating that the Applicant lacks valid authorization to carry out activities regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act for the construction of the Robeson LNG Pipeline Project ("RLNG").

I. Factual and Legal Background

A. USACE's verified RLNG Construction Pursuant to Nationwide Permit 12.

On February 20, 2020, the Corps issued a verification pursuant to Nationwide Permit 12 ("NWP 12"), authorizing dredge and fill activities associated with the construction of RLNG and regulated pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Action Id. SAW-2019-01960. *See* Exh. A. This type of verification is required before such dredge and fill activities can commence. The Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of fill materials into the "waters of the United States" unless authorized by a Corps permit issued pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1344. 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). "Wetlands adjacent to navigable waters" and their tributaries are included within the definition of "waters of the United States." 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(a)(3); 40 C.F.R. § 230.3(s).

B. A U.S. Federal Court has Vacated Nationwide Permit 12 and Prohibited its Use for Authorizing the Construction of New Oil and Gas Pipelines.

On April 15, 2020, United States District Court Judge Brian Morris held that NWP 12 was unlawful because it violated the consultation requirements under Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act. *Northern Plains Resource Council v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,* Case No. 4:19-CV-00044-GF-BMM (D. Mont. April 15, 2020). U.S. Dist. LEXIS 66745. Judge Morris vacated and remanded NWP 12, pending the completion of ESA consultation and compliance with all environmental laws, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 706(2)(A). *Id.*



The word "vacate" is synonymous with the word "annul," or to make void and legally invalid. *See, e.g., Black's Law Dictionary* 1404 (10th ed. 2014). Vacatur is the standard remedy for agency action found to be arbitrary and capricious pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, simply undoing the government's rules found to be unlawful and restoring the *status quo* prior to the unlawful action. *See, e.g., Paulsen v. Daniels*, 413 F.3d 999, 1008 (9th Cir. 2005) ("The effect of invalidating an agency rule is to reinstate the rule previously in force."). When agency action is found to be deficient as a matter of law, the ordinary result is vacatur of the entire agency action. This vacatur applies widely, impacting not just the Plaintiffs in the instant action but all parties to which the vacated action applies. *See, e.g., Nat'l Min. Ass'n v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*, 145 F.3d 1399, 1409 (D.C. Cir. 1998)(quoting *Harmon v. Thornburgh*, 878 F.2d 484, 495 n. 21 (D.C.Cir.1989)).

Indeed, on May 11, 2020, Judge Morris amended his Order in the *Northern Plains* case after reviewing a motion to stay. Specifically, Judge Morris clarified:

NWP 12 is vacated as it relates to the construction of new oil and gas pipelines pending completion of the consultation process and compliance with all environmental statutes and regulations. NWP 12 remains in place during remand insofar as it authorizes non-pipeline construction activities and routine maintenance, inspection, and repair activities on existing NWP 12 projects.

See Exh. B at 38. Judge Morris' Order plainly states that NWP 12 has been neutralized of any legal effect as it relates to unconstructed gas pipelines. Furthermore, it clarifies that, to the extent that NWP 12 remains in force during remand, it only provides coverage for activities that do not involve construction of pipelines.

Federal and industry defendants appealed Judge Morris' decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on May 13, 2020. On May 28, 2020, the Ninth Circuit denied a requested stay, providing a stark preview of the appellants' low likelihood of overturning the lower court's decision: "Appellants have not demonstrated a sufficient likelihood of success on the merits" Order at 3; *see* Exh. C. In other words, a federal court has vacated and invalidated NWP 12 due to flawed environmental review. The appellate court has made abundantly clear that this decision is unlikely to be reversed on appeal.

C. Vacatur of a Nationwide Permit for One Project Also Voids the Verifications Issued Pursuant to the Nationwide Permit for Other Projects.

The courts of the United States have examined the impact of the prior vacatur of other nationwide permits on authorizations issued by the Corps pursuant to the vacated permit. In *Ky. Riverkeeper, Inc. v. Rowlette*, the Sixth Circuit considered a NEPA challenge to the Army Corps of Engineers' issuance of a nationwide permit authorizing coal mining operations to discharge dredge and fill material into waters of the United States. 714 F.3d 402 (6th Cir. 2013). The court found that the Corps' Environmental Assessment for the nationwide permit failed to assess the cumulative impacts of the reauthorization, in violation of NEPA regulations. *Id.* at 410. To remedy this error, the court "set aside the Corps' reauthorization of [the permit] as arbitrary and capricious," citing its "duty" to do so under 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A). *Id.* at 411.

On remand, the district court vacated a separate project permit that was issued pursuant to the invalid nationwide permit. *Kentucky Riverkeeper v. Colonel Luke Leonard*, No. 203, CV 05-181-DLB, at 2 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 22, 2013).



D. The Corps has an Affirmative Duty to Issue a Stop Work Order to an Applicant Where a Court has Vacated the Legal Basis for Authorizations Previously Issued to the Applicant.

After the Sixth Circuit vacated the nationwide permit, the District Court in *Kentucky Riverkeeper* found an affirmative duty on the part of the Corps to issue a stop work order to the now-unauthorized permittee. On remand, the district court ordered the Corps to "notify [the operator] that any activities authorized by that reauthorization must cease immediately." *Id.*

II. Argument

A. The Applicant Lacks Authorization Under 404 to Construct RLNG

As a result of the Corps' failure to fulfill ESA mandates prior to issuing NWP12, the verification for RLNG lacks validity, and the Applicant does not have proper legal authorization to conduct dredge and fill activities for the construction of RLNG under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The courts of the United States have explicitly vacated NWP 12 as it relates to the construction of new oil and gas pipelines and indicated that there is no realistic prospect of reversal. As a result, the Applicant is currently unable to lawfully proceed with dredge and fill activities for construction of RLNG through the Gum Swamp. Further authorization that fulfills all applicable environmental laws, including formal ESA consultation, will be needed.

With the vacatur of NWP 12 vis-à-vis new oil and gas pipeline construction, the Corps' February 20, 2020 verification for RLNG has been rendered null and void. *See, e.g., Ky. Riverkeeper v. Colonel Luke Leonard*, No. 203, CV 05-181-DLB, at 2 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 22, 2013). The logic supporting this legal conclusion is straightforward. The U.S. federal court system has determined that NWP 12 was invalid from the point of its inception due to violations of the Endangered Species Act. It follows that any verification issued pursuant to that invalid permit – including the verification at issue here – is likewise invalid.

Because the Applicant lacks proper authorization under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, it is unable to lawfully proceed with dredge and fill activities associated with the construction of RLNG in the Gum Swamp and adjacent waters. To be able to commence these activities, it must obtain a valid project-specific 404 permit; or a verification pursuant to a new, valid nationwide permit that fulfills the mandates of all applicable environmental laws.

B. The Corps has an Affirmative Duty to Immediately Issue a Stop Work Order to the Applicant for RLNG

Just as the District Court in *Kentucky Riverkeeper* found an affirmative duty on the part of the Corps to issue a stop work order to the permittee after the underlying nationwide permit for the permittee's authorization was vacated, the Corps in this instance has an affirmative duty to immediately issue a stop work order to the Applicant, due to the vacatur of NWP 12.

Furthermore, the crystal clear clarification by the *Northern Plains* court that "NWP 12 is vacated as it relates to the construction of new oil and gas pipelines" underscores that the Applicant lacks legal authorization to carry out any dredge and fill activities for the construction of RLNG. Exh. B at 38. The Corps must ensure that its invalid verification for RLNG is not utilized for construction of the new pipeline by issuing a stop work order. It must also clarify for the Applicant, in writing, of the need to



secure new, valid verification before any activities regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act are commenced for the construction of RLNG.

C. Coordinated Opposition, Including Legal Challenges Against USACE, Recently Resulted in Considerable Setbacks for Domestic Pipeline Projects.

Setting aside the significant legal issues with USACE's reliance on the invalid NWP 12 to validate RLNG, the undersigned would be remiss if we did not highlight the series of recent decisions and actions that denounce domestic pipelines, each of which should have bearing on USACE's flawed decision to allow the RLNG project to move forward. A recent article in the New York Times summarizes that pipeline projects "are being challenged as never before as protests spread, economics shift, environmentalists mount increasingly sophisticated legal attacks and more states seek to reduce their use of fossil fuels to address climate change." These challenges – to which the undersigned are involved – have culminated into a number of setbacks for pipeline projects across the country.

First, on July 5, 2020, Dominion Energy and Duke Energy – two of the nation's largest utilities – announced their decision to cancel the Atlantic Coast Pipeline due to "too much legal uncertainty to continue moving forward with this project." Press Release, *Dominion Energy and Duke Energy Cancel the Atlantic Coast Pipeline* (July 5, 2020), https://atlanticcoastpipeline.com/news/2020/7/5/dominion-energy-and-duke-energy-cancel-the-atlantic-coast-pipeline.aspx. The announcement followed a July 1, 2020 letter of formal opposition to the Atlantic Coast Pipeline by the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Environmental Justice and Equity Advisory Board. *See* Exh. D. The letter reaffirms legal shortcomings that the undersigned highlighted in a petition to revoke the 401 Water Quality Certification for the pipeline, and recommends that North Carolina officials oppose any attempts to resume its construction. *Id.* at 4.

Second, on July 6, 2020, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an order formally shutting down the Dakota Access Pipeline in light of USACE's flawed environmental reviews related to the project. *Standing Rock Sioux Tribe v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*, No. 16-1534 (D.D.C. July 6, 2020). The decision notes "the seriousness of the Corps' deficiencies" in its "highly controversial" decision to approve the project without properly evaluating its environmental impacts. *Id.* at 1, 6. Because USACE allowed the pipeline to move forward despite public outcry and the pending legal challenge, it must now backtrack to complete proper reviews, and in the meantime, the pipeline must be emptied and shut down.

The undersigned have been an integral party to the coordinated efforts to oppose domestic pipeline projects, including by deeply scrutinizing USACE's patterned failure to fulfill federal environmental laws. We have no intention of relenting in this instance. Indeed, on June 18, 2020, the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina formalized its support of District 5 landowners' opposition to the RLNG project in an effort "to preserve their land, their waterways and future generations from the impacts of the placement of the LNG facility." The undersigned will be joining fellow members of the Lumbee Tribe at a forthcoming meeting to further discuss our opposition with the Applicant on July 14, 2020.

III. Demand

The undersigned parties hereby demand that the Corps issue a written stop work order to the Applicant clarifying that the Applicant's verification for RLNG pursuant to NWP 12 is invalid and void of legal effect.



The Corps must indicate to the Applicant that a new authorization must be secured by the Applicant pursuant to a project-specific 404 permit, or pursuant to a new nationwide permit, before any dredge and fill activities may be commenced for the construction of RLNG.

If the Corps fails to issue said stop work order to the Applicant within the next fourteen (14) calendar days, the undersigned are considering taking legal action against the agency to obtain appropriate injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and formal vacatur of the Applicant's verification. We are actively awaiting your timely issuance of a stop work order, and if you have any questions about this communication, please do not hesitate to contact us directly.

Sincerely,

Mania Vanna

Marcie Keever
Legal Director
Friends of the Earth
mkeever@foe.org

Senior Fossil Fuels Campaigner
Friends of the Earth

dchavis@foe.org

Jefferson Currie II Lumber Riverkeeper Winyah Rivers Alliance

lumberrk@winvahrivers.org

Attachments/Exhibit A NWP 12 verification for RLNG

Exhibit B Judge Morris' May 11, 2020 Order clarifying vacatur

Exhibit C Ninth Circuit May 28, 2020 Order denying stay

Exhibit D NC DEQ Environmental Justice and Equity Advisory Board July 1, 2020 Letter

Exhibit E Lumbee Tribe of NC June 18, 2020 Resolution

CC: Jason Brown

Director Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. PO Box 33068

Charlotte NC, 28233

<u>Iason.brown6@duke-energy.com</u>



Perrin de Jong Staff Attorney Center for Biological Diversity P.O. Box 6414 Asheville, NC 28816 perrin@biologicaldiversity.org

Harvey Godwin, Jr.
Tribal Chair
Tribal Council of the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina
984 NC Hwy 711
Pembroke, NC 28372
hgodwin@lumbeetribe.com

Ricky Burnett
Speaker
Tribal Council of the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina
984 NC Hwy 711
Pembroke, NC 28372
ricky.burnett@lumbeetribe.com

Corbin Eddings
Vice Chair
Tribal Council of the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina
984 NC Hwy 711
Pembroke, NC 28372
corbin.eddings@lumbeetribe.com

Sharon Hunt
Secretary
Tribal Council of the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina
984 NC Hwy 711
Pembroke, NC 28372
sharon.hunt@lumbeetribe.com

Wendy Moore-Graham
Chair, Agriculture/Natural Resources Committee
Tribal Council of the Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina
984 NC Hwy 711
Pembroke, NC 28372
wendy.moore@lumbeetribe.com