



Notice of Exemption Issuance, 88 Fed. Reg. 14,395 (Mar. 8, 2023) (“Exemption Order”). A copy of the Exemption Order is attached as Exhibit A.

Petitioners seek review of the Exemption Order on the grounds that by extending the operating license terms for the Diablo Canyon reactors without conducting or completing safety and environmental reviews or conducting public hearings, the NRC violated the Atomic Energy Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Administrative Procedure Act. Accordingly, Petitioners respectfully request this Court review, reverse, and vacate the Exemption Order and grant any other remedies that may be appropriate.

This filing is timely because it is made within the 60-day period established by the Hobbs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2344, and the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2239(a)(1), for bringing a petition for judicial review of a final action in an NRC proceeding for the granting or amendment of a reactor operating license. The Exemption Order constitutes the final agency action in the proceeding below. While exemptions are not specifically listed among the actions covered by 42 U.S.C. § 2239(a)(1), the Hobbs Act applies here because the NRC effectively amended the Diablo Canyon operating licenses in order to extend their terms. *Deukmejian v. NRC*, 751 F.2d 1287, 1312 (D.C. Cir 1984) (holding that the NRC effectively amended the Diablo Canyon operating licenses by extending them).

Venue is appropriate within the Ninth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully Submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_\_

Diane Curran  
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.  
1725 DeSales Street N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
240-393-9285  
dcurran@harmoncurran.com

Counsel to Petitioner San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace

\_\_\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_\_

Richard Ayres  
2923 Foxhall Road, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016  
202-744-6930  
ayresr@ayreslawgroup.com

Counsel to Petitioner Friends of the Earth

\_\_\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_\_

Caroline Leary  
Environmental Working Group  
1250 I St N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-667-6982  
cleary@ewg.org

Counsel to Petitioner Environmental Working Group

April 28, 2023

# **EXHIBIT A**

applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act of 1965 and make recommendations thereon to the Chair; and to consider gifts offered to NEH and make recommendations thereon to the Chair.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, March 16, 2023, from 10:00 a.m. until 2:30 p.m., and Friday, March 17, 2023, from 11:00 a.m. until adjourned.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held by videoconference originating at Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Voyatzis, Committee Management Officer, 400 7th Street SW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20506; (202) 606-8322; [evoyatzis@neh.gov](mailto:evoyatzis@neh.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Council on the Humanities is meeting pursuant to the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 951-960, as amended).

The National Council will convene in executive session by videoconference on March 16, 2023, from 10:00 a.m. until 11:00 a.m.

The following Committees of the National Council on the Humanities will convene by videoconference on March 16, 2023, from 11:00 a.m. until 2:30 p.m., to discuss specific grant applications and programs before the Council:

- Challenge Programs;
- Education Programs;
- Federal/State Partnership;
- Preservation and Access;
- Public Programs; and
- Research Programs.

The plenary session of the National Council on the Humanities will convene by videoconference on March 17, 2023, at 11:00 a.m. The agenda for the plenary session will be as follows:

- A. Minutes of Previous Meeting
- B. Reports
  1. Chair's Remarks
  2. Update from NEH's Equity Task Force
  3. Actions on Requests for Chair's Grants and Supplemental Funding
  4. Actions on Previously Considered Applications
- C. Challenge Programs
- D. Education Programs
- E. Federal/State Partnership
- F. Preservation and Access
- G. Public Programs
- H. Research Programs

This meeting of the National Council on the Humanities will be closed to the public pursuant to sections 552b(c)(4),

552b(c)(6), and 552b(c)(9)(B) of title 5 U.S.C., as amended, because it will include review of personal and/or proprietary financial and commercial information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants, and discussion of certain information, the premature disclosure of which could significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination pursuant to the authority granted me by the Chair's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings dated April 15, 2016.

Dated: March 2, 2023.

**Jessica Graves,**  
*Legal Administrative Specialist, National Endowment for the Humanities.*

[FR Doc. 2023-04718 Filed 3-7-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7536-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**[Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323; NRC-2023-0043]**

### **Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Exemption; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued an exemption in response to an October 31, 2022, request from Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding the submittal of a license renewal application for Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2. Pursuant to this exemption, if the licensee submits a license renewal application less than 5 years prior to expiration of the existing operating licenses but no later than December 31, 2023, and if the NRC staff finds it acceptable for docketing, the existing operating licenses will be in timely renewal under NRC regulations until the NRC has made a final determination on whether to approve the license renewal application.

**DATES:** The exemption was issued on March 2, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2023-0043 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2023-0043. Address questions about Docket IDs in

*Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: [Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov](mailto:Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents, by appointment, at the NRC's PDR, Room P1 B35, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov) or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian K. Harris, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: 301-415-2277, email: [Brian.Harris2@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Harris2@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the exemption is attached.

Dated: March 3, 2023.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Lauren K. Gibson,**  
*Chief, License Renewal Projects Branch, Division of New and Renewed Licenses, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

## Nuclear Regulatory Commission

**Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323; Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2; Exemption**

### I. Background

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee, PG&E) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82, which authorize operation of Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP), Units 1 and 2, respectively. These units are pressurized water reactors located in San Luis Obispo, California. The operating licenses provide, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect. The current operating licenses for DCPD Units 1 and 2, expire on November 2, 2024, and August 26, 2025, respectively.

In November 2009, PG&E submitted a license renewal application for DCPD, Units 1 and 2 (ADAMS Accession No. ML093340086). The NRC conducted a docketing acceptance review of the application, accepted it for docketing, and began the necessary safety and environmental reviews (75 FR 3493; January 21, 2010). This license renewal application had timely renewal protection under 10 CFR 2.109(b) because it was submitted more than 5 years before the expiration dates of the operating licenses for the units. In April 2011, PG&E requested that the NRC delay its decision on the DCPD Units 1 and 2 license renewal application (ML111010592). On June 2, 2011, the NRC staff published a safety evaluation documenting its safety review of the application to that point (ML11153A103). In 2016, PG&E requested that the NRC suspend its review of the DCPD Units 1 and 2 license renewal application (ML16173A454). By letter dated March 7, 2018 (ML18066A937), PG&E requested to withdraw the license renewal application based on projected energy demands and other economic factors in the State of California. The California Public Utilities Commission approved PG&E's resource planning decision to terminate the license renewal application review in Decision 18-01-022, dated January 11, 2018.<sup>1</sup> On April 16, 2018 (ML18093A115), the NRC granted the withdrawal (83 FR 17688; April 23, 2018), terminated its review, and closed the docket. PG&E states that subsequent to the withdrawal of its license renewal application in 2018, it "has been working on decommissioning planning efforts to support the transition to active decommissioning upon shutdown of DCPD Units 1 and 2 at the expiration of the operating licenses." (ML22304A691).

On September 2, 2022, the State of California enacted Senate Bill No. 846, which invalidated and reversed the 2018 California Public Utilities Commission decision to approve termination of PG&E's license renewal application and retirement of DCPD Units 1 and 2.<sup>2</sup> As a result, by letter

dated October 31, 2022, PG&E requested that the NRC resume its review of the previously submitted and subsequently withdrawn DCPD Units 1 and 2 license renewal application; PG&E also requested that the NRC confirm that PG&E was (and is again) in timely renewal under 10 CFR 2.109(b) (ML22304A691). In support of its request, PG&E stated that its previous decision to withdraw the license renewal application was based on "the determination that continued baseload operation of the two DCPD units beyond their licensed operating periods was not necessary to meet California's projected energy demand requirements and the potential costs to bundled customers in light of changes in electricity supply in the State." In the alternative, PG&E requested an exemption from 10 CFR 2.109(b) and timely renewal protection that would allow PG&E to submit a license renewal application for DCPD Units 1 and 2 by December 31, 2023.

The NRC staff responded to this request on January 24, 2023 (ML22343A179). In its response, the NRC staff explained it would not resume the review of PG&E's withdrawn application and stated that its response to PG&E's exemption request would be provided separately. As described more fully below, the staff has completed its evaluation of PG&E's exemption request and has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 54.15 and 10 CFR 50.12, the requested exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. The staff has also determined that special circumstances, as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2), are present.

## II. Request/Action

As an alternative to its request that the NRC staff resume its review of the withdrawn DCPD Units 1 and 2 license renewal application, PG&E requested an exemption from 10 CFR 2.109(b), which provides that if a nuclear power plant licensee files a sufficient license renewal application "at least 5 years before the expiration of the existing license, the existing license will not be deemed to have expired until the application has been finally determined." Specifically, PG&E requested timely renewal protection under 10 CFR 2.109(b) if it submits a license renewal application for DCPD Units 1 and 2 by December 31, 2023.

[https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=202120220SB846](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220SB846) (SB846).

In its October 31, 2022, letter, the licensee stated that two special circumstances apply to its exemption request. The special circumstances that the licensee identified are:

(1) Compliance would result in undue hardship or other costs that are significantly in excess of those contemplated when the regulation was adopted, or that are significantly in excess of those incurred by others similarly situated.

(2) There is present any other material circumstance not considered when the regulation was adopted for which it would be in the public interest to grant an exemption.

## III. Discussion

Under 10 CFR 54.17(a), an application for a renewed license must be filed in accordance with subpart A of 10 CFR part 2, which includes 10 CFR 2.109(b), "Effect of timely renewal application." Section 2.109(b) states that "[i]f the licensee of a nuclear power plant licensed under 10 CFR 50.21(b) or 50.22 files a sufficient application for renewal of either an operating license or a combined license at least 5 years before the expiration of the existing license, the existing license will not be deemed to have expired until the application has been finally determined."

As provided in 10 CFR 54.15, exemptions from the requirements of Part 54 are governed by 10 CFR 50.12. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) special circumstances are present, as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2).

### A. The Exemption Is Authorized by Law

This exemption would allow the licensee to update its previous license renewal application and submit a sufficient license renewal application for DCPD Units 1 and 2, by December 31, 2023, and, if it does so, receive timely renewal protection under 10 CFR 2.109(b). This means that if the licensee submits an updated license renewal application by December 31, 2023, and the staff finds it acceptable for docketing, the existing licenses for DCPD Units 1 and 2 will not be deemed to have expired until the NRC has made a final determination on whether to approve the license renewal application.

The staff has determined that even though less than 5 years remain in the terms of each of the licenses for DCPD

<sup>1</sup> Decision Approving Retirement of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Application 16-8-006, <https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M205/K423/205423920.PDF>.

<sup>2</sup> California Senate Bill No. 846, Diablo Canyon powerplant: extension of operations (Sept. 2, 2022)

Units 1 and 2, granting this limited, one-time exemption is authorized by law. The 5-year time period specified in 10 CFR 2.109(b) is not required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the Administrative Procedure Act. It is the result of a discretionary agency rulemaking under Sections 161 and 181 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (56 FR 64943; December 13, 1991) that was designed to provide the NRC with a reasonable amount of time to review a license renewal application and decide whether to approve it. Section 103c. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, permits the Commission to issue operating licenses, including renewed licenses. Section 2.109 implements Section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 558(c), which states, in part:

When the licensee has made timely and sufficient application for a renewal or a new license in accordance with agency rules, a license with reference to an activity of a continuing nature does not expire until the application has been finally determined by the agency.

The time period in 10 CFR 2.109(b) is designed to provide a reasonable amount of time for the NRC to review a license renewal application and reach a decision on whether to approve it. Prior to 1992, the rules provided that licensees would have received timely renewal protection when they submitted their license renewal applications 30 days before the expiration of the current license. (56 FR 64943; December 13, 1991). In 1990, the NRC proposed modifying 10 CFR 2.109 to provide that applications must be submitted 3 years before expiration of the current license to be afforded timely renewal protection. (55 FR 29043; July 17, 1990). There is nothing in the preamble supporting the proposed rule or final rule revising 10 CFR 2.109(b) that suggests that applying the timely renewal doctrine to license renewal applications submitted 30 days before the expiration of the license was not authorized by law. Instead, it appears the Commission proposed to revise 10 CFR 2.109(b) from 30 days to 3 years before the expiration of the license so that the final determination on a license renewal application would typically be made before the current operating license expired. In the proposed rule, the Commission explained that it did not believe 30 days would provide “a reasonable time to review an application for a renewed operating license” and estimated that the technical review of a license renewal application would take approximately 2 years. (55 FR 29043; July 17, 1990). In the final rule, the

Commission stated that the technical review of the application would take approximately 2 years due to the review of many complex technical issues and that “any necessary hearing could likely add an additional year or more” (56 FR 64943; December 13, 1991). Ultimately, the Commission concluded in the final rule that timely renewal protection would be provided for license renewal applications filed 5 years before the operating license expired to promote consistency with the requirement that licensees submit decommissioning plans and related financial assurance information on or about 5 years prior to the expiration of their current operating licenses. Thus, in promulgating 10 CFR 2.109(b), the Commission considered that the time period needed to reach a final determination may be less than 5 years in some cases, but the rule also provides timely renewal protection for timely-filed applications to account for situations where the resolution of complex technical issues may take more time.

The exemption constitutes a change to the schedule by which the licensee must submit its application for license renewal and is administrative in nature; it does not involve any change to the current operating license. Under 10 CFR 54.17(a), an application for a renewed license must be filed in accordance with subpart A of 10 CFR part 2, which includes 10 CFR 2.109(b). However, the NRC may grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 54 pursuant to 10 CFR 54.15. For the reasons stated above, the NRC has determined that granting this one-time exemption will not result in a violation of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Administrative Procedure Act, or the NRC’s regulations. Therefore, the exemption is authorized by law.

#### *B. The Exemption Presents No Undue Risk to Public Health and Safety*

The requested exemption does not change the manner in which the plants operate and maintains public health and safety because the exemption from 10 CFR 2.109 does not result in a change to the facility or the current operating license, but allows DCPD Units 1 and 2 to continue operating under its existing licenses in the event the NRC has not reached a final determination of the license renewal application prior the expiration of the current operating licenses. Pending final action on the license renewal application, the NRC will continue to conduct all regulatory activities associated with licensing, inspection, and oversight, and will continue to take whatever action may be necessary to ensure adequate protection

of the public health and safety. The existence of this exemption does not affect NRC’s authority, applicable to all licenses, to modify, suspend, or revoke a license for cause, such as a serious safety concern.

If the licensee submits a license renewal application by December 31, 2023, there would be approximately 11 months prior to the expiration of the current license for Unit 1, and approximately 20 months prior to the expiration of the current license for Unit 2, for the staff to conduct a docketing acceptance review and, if the application is accepted for docketing, provide a hearing opportunity and conduct the required safety and environmental reviews. Although 11 months is less than the 18-month generic milestone schedule for the staff’s review of a license renewal application,<sup>3</sup> it is sufficient time for the NRC staff to determine if any immediate actions need to be taken prior to the licensee entering the period of timely renewal. Additionally, unlike a situation where an application for license renewal is being filed for the first time, here, the licensee previously submitted an application that the NRC staff docketed and reviewed, issuing a safety evaluation in June 2011 documenting its findings to that point. If PG&E submits an updated, sufficient license renewal application by December 31, 2023, the NRC staff will be able to leverage insights from its partial review of the previously submitted and subsequently withdrawn DCPD Units 1 and 2 application to conduct a focused, efficient review of the application. Based on the discussion in this section, the NRC finds that the action does not cause undue risk to public health and safety.

#### *C. The Exemption Is Consistent With the Common Defense and Security*

The requested exemption does not alter the design, function, or operation of any structures or plant equipment that is necessary to maintain safe and secure status of any site security matters. Therefore, the NRC finds that the action is consistent with the common defense and security.

#### *D. Special Circumstances*

The Commission will not consider granting a specific exemption from the requirements in 10 CFR part 50 unless special circumstances are present. 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2). “Special circumstances are present whenever . . . there is

<sup>3</sup> Generic Milestone Schedules of Requested Activities of the Commission, <https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/generic-schedules.html> (last updated Sept. 10, 2021).

present any other material circumstance not considered when the regulation was adopted for which it would be in the public interest to grant an exemption.”<sup>4</sup> 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(vi). The NRC finds that PG&E has provided several factors in support of its exemption request that demonstrate that special circumstances not considered when the Commission promulgated 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(vi) are present and that it would be in the public interest to grant this limited, one-time exemption.

PG&E submitted an application for license renewal for DCPD Units 1 and 2 in 2009. PG&E subsequently requested to withdraw this application in 2018 based on the determination by the State of California and the California Public Utilities Commission that continued baseload operation of the two DCPD units beyond their currently approved operating periods would not be necessary to meet California’s projected energy demand requirements (ML18066A937). Since that time, however, California’s projected energy demands have changed. To respond to those changes, the State of California enacted Senate Bill No. 846 (SB 846), which invalidated and reversed the 2018 California Public Utilities Commission decision to approve termination of PG&E’s license renewal application and retirement of Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2. SB 846 was signed by the Governor of California on September 2, 2022. In its October 31, 2022, letter, PG&E stated that it submitted its request to reinstate its previously withdrawn license renewal application or obtain an exemption from the 5-year time period specified in 10 CFR 2.109(b) so that it could file an updated application, “pursuant to the direction in [California] Senate Bill No. (SB) 846.” The recent efforts by the State of California to keep DCPD Units 1 and 2 operating based, in part, on climate change impacts and serious electricity reliability challenges, constitute material circumstances that were not specifically considered when the NRC revised 10 CFR 2.109(b) in 1991.<sup>5</sup> The

NRC finds that the factors PG&E have provided in support of its request are compelling and demonstrate that the special circumstances required by 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(vi) are present and that it would be in the public interest to grant this exemption.

#### *E. Environmental Considerations*

The NRC has determined that the issuance of the requested exemption meets the provisions of the categorical exclusion in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25). Under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), the granting of an exemption from the requirements of any regulation of chapter 10 qualifies as a categorical exclusion if (i) there is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involves one of several matters, including scheduling requirements (§ 51.22(c)(25)(iv)(G)). The basis for NRC’s determination is provided in the following evaluation of the requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i)–(vi).

#### Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i)

To qualify for a categorical exclusion under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i), the exemption must involve a no significant hazards consideration. The criteria for making a no significant hazards consideration determination are found in 10 CFR 50.92(c). The NRC has determined that the granting of the exemption request involves no significant hazards consideration because allowing the submittal of the license renewal application less than 5 years before the expiration of the existing license and deeming the license in timely renewal under 10 CFR 2.109(b) does not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i) are met.

[www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/SB-846-Signing-Message.pdf?emrc=9e526b](http://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/SB-846-Signing-Message.pdf?emrc=9e526b) (stating “[c]limate change is causing unprecedented stress on California’s energy system”).

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii) and (iii)

The exemption constitutes a change to the schedule by which the licensee must submit its application for license renewal and still place the licenses in timely renewal, which is administrative in nature, and does not involve any change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite and does not contribute to any significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure.

Accordingly, there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite, and no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii) and (iii) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iv)

The exempted regulation is not associated with construction, and the exemption does not propose any changes to the site, alter the site, or change the operation of the site. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iv) are met because there is no significant construction impact.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(v)

The exemption constitutes a change to the schedule by which the licensee must submit its license renewal application and still place the licenses in timely renewal, which is administrative in nature, and does not impact the probability or consequences of accidents. Thus, there is no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences of, a radiological accident. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(v) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi)

To qualify for a categorical exclusion under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi)(G), the exemption must involve scheduling requirements. The exemption involves scheduling requirements because it would allow the licensee to submit an application for license renewal for DCPD Units 1 and 2, less than 5 years prior to the expiration of the existing licenses, rather than the 5 years specified in 10 CFR 2.109(b), and still place the licenses in timely renewal under 10 CFR 2.109(b). Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi) are met.

Based on the above, the NRC concludes that the proposed exemption meets the eligibility criteria for a categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact

<sup>4</sup> Consistent with 50.12(a)(2)(vi), the Executive Director for Operations consulted with the Commission.

<sup>5</sup> See SB 846 § 9 (stating “the purpose of the extension of the Diablo Canyon powerplant operations is to protect the state against significant uncertainty in future demand resulting from the state’s greenhouse-gas-reduction efforts involving electrification of transportation and building energy end uses and regional climate-related weather phenomenon, and to address the risk that currently ordered procurement will be insufficient to meet this supply or that there may be delays in bringing the ordered resources online on schedule.”); Senate Bill 846 Signing Message (Sept. 2, 2022) <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/SB-846-Signing-Message.pdf?emrc=9e526b>



statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the granting of this exemption request.

#### IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, the NRC has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 54.15 and 10 CFR 50.12, the requested exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances, as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2), are present. Therefore, the NRC hereby grants the licensee a one-time exemption for Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, from 10 CFR 2.109(b) to allow PG&E to submit a license renewal application for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, less than 5 years prior to expiration of the operating licenses, but no later than December 31, 2023.

The decision to issue PG&E an exemption from 10 CFR 2.109(b) does not constitute approval of the license renewal application PG&E intends to submit by December 31, 2023. Rather, this exemption provides that if PG&E submits an application by December 31, 2023, and the application is sufficient for docketing, the licensee will receive timely renewal protection under 10 CFR 2.109(b) while the NRC evaluates that application. Should the application be docketed, the NRC will provide an opportunity for the public to seek a hearing and review the application using its normal license renewal review processes and standards to determine whether the application meets all applicable regulatory requirements.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of March 2023.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brian W. Smith,**

*Director, Division of New and Renewed Licenses, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2023-04750 Filed 3-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2022-0170]

### Information Collection: Requests to Federally Recognized Indian Tribes for Information

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Renewal of existing information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment on the renewal of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for an existing collection of information. The information collection is entitled, "Requests to Federally Recognized Indian Tribes for Information."

**DATES:** Submit comments by May 8, 2023. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods; however, the NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website:

- *Federal rulemaking website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2022-0170. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: [Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov](mailto:Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Mail comments to:* David C. Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Mail Stop: T-6 A10M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David C. Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email: [Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

###### A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2022-0170 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2022-0170. A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by accessing Docket ID NRC-2022-0170 on this website.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov). The supporting statement is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML22320A075.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents, by appointment, at the NRC's PDR, Room P1 B35, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov) or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- *NRC's Clearance Officer:* A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC's Clearance Officer, David C. Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email: [Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov).

###### B. Submitting Comments

The NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website (<https://www.regulations.gov>). Please include Docket ID NRC-2022-0170, in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at <https://www.regulations.gov> and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Diane Curran, hereby certify that on April 28, 2023, I posted Petitioners' Petition for Review on the Court's ECF website. I also sent copies to the following by first-class mail:

Merrick Garland, Attorney General (by registered mail, return receipt requested)  
United States Department of Justice  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530-001

Marian Zobler, General Counsel  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Brooke P. Clark, Secretary (by registered mail, return receipt requested)  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop O-16G4  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Paul M. Bessette, Esq.  
Ryan K. Lighty, Esq.  
Timothy P. Matthews, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis and Bockius, L.L.P.  
1111 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
*Counsel to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.*

Respectfully Submitted,

\_\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_\_

Diane Curran  
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.  
1725 DeSales Street N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
240-393-9285  
Email: [dcurran@harmoncurran.com](mailto:dcurran@harmoncurran.com)