



ORGANIC IS REGENERATIVE

Organic is among the most comprehensive and time-tested agricultural systems for mitigating and adapting to climate change and protecting biodiversity and human health, and it has the benefit of being enforced through a rigorous legal standard. Decades of research shows that organic achieves the key aims of regenerative agriculture, including soil health, climate resilience, soil carbon sequestration, reduced emissions, and the protection of biodiversity, human health, and community wellbeing.

PRIORITIZE SOIL HEALTH

- **Organic farmers don't use toxic pesticides.** Science shows all classes of pesticides widely used in conventional agriculture pose a grave threat to organisms that are critical to healthy soil and soil biodiversity. (1,2) The federal organic standard prohibits the use of 900 pesticides otherwise allowed in agriculture.
- **Organic farmers use practices that foster soil health and fertility.** Organic producers are legally required to protect soils with practices such as cover cropping, crop rotations, and the application of compost, mulch, green manure, or crop residues to build fertility. (3) Nearly 90% of organic farmers use cover crops, which help sequester carbon and prevent soil erosion. (4)

MAKE FARMS MORE RESILIENT TO CLIMATE CHANGE

- **Organic farmers conserve water resources.** Long-term trials show that organic fields have 30–50% greater soil aggregation (which increases water holding capacity) and ten times higher water infiltration than conventional fields. (5) Water conservation allows organic farms to be more resilient to the impacts of climate change, including drought. (6)
- **Organic farming has been shown to yield more than conventional farming in times of weather extremes.** (7,8) Over forty years of research from the Rodale Institute demonstrates that organic management can yield as much as conventional management, and in times of drought, organic yields are up to 40% greater than conventional yields. (9) Organic farms are better prepared for an increasingly unpredictable climate.

IMPROVE SOIL CARBON SEQUESTRATION

- **Organic farming practices allow soil to act as a carbon sponge.** It is the aliveness of soil that allows it to store carbon; plant root systems and soil organisms are critical for carbon sequestration. Organic farmers keep the soil healthy, alive, and able to soak up carbon by eliminating toxic pesticides and building fertility. Research shows that organic farms store up to 25% more carbon in soil and achieve deeper, more persistent carbon storage than farms using chemical approaches. (10,11)
- **Organic farmers achieve greater soil carbon benefits than conventional no-till.** Trials conducted by the USDA found that organic farms can sequester, on average, 400-600 more pounds of carbon per acre than conventional farms, including conventional no-till farms. (12, 13)

REDUCE EMISSIONS AND ENERGY USE

- **Organic farms typically emit fewer greenhouse gasses and use less energy than conventional farms.** Land in organic production emits 43% fewer greenhouse gasses than the same amount of land in conventional production. (14) In particular, organic farms emit less



nitrous oxide, a greenhouse gas 298 times more potent than carbon dioxide. Conventionally managed soils release 56% more nitrous oxide on average than organically managed soils. (15,16)

- **Organic farmers use few energy-intensive chemicals.** Synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, which are prohibited in organic agriculture, are derived from fossil fuels and are extremely energy-intensive to produce. (17,18) Synthetic pesticides and fertilizers alone account for a staggering 40% of the total energy used to produce crops and livestock in the United States. (19, 20)

PROTECT BIODIVERSITY

- **Organic farms are more biodiverse.** On average, organic farms host 50% more organisms than conventional farms. (21, 22) The increased populations of beneficial insects, birds, mammals, reptiles, and soil organisms on organic farms maintain the web of life and help ensure that we can feed ourselves and future generations. (23)
- **Organic farmers protect pollinators.** Pollinators like bees are the cornerstone of a dependable food supply – they’re responsible for one of every three bites of food we eat. (24) Yet pollinator populations are in decline, in part because U.S. agriculture has become 48 times more toxic to pollinators and other insects since the introduction of neonicotinoid pesticides. (25) Organic farms safeguard essential pollinators by not using neonicotinoids and other toxic pesticides.

IMPROVE HUMAN HEALTH AND WELLBEING

- **Organic farmers protect people from unhealthy exposure to toxic pesticides.** Decades of data show that pesticides can disrupt the healthy functioning of our bodies. Pesticide exposure is linked to cancers, asthma, neurological and reproductive disorders, endocrine disruption, and host of other serious health problems. (26, 27) Organic farming protects farmworkers, communities, and consumers from these harms. Children and infants in utero are most vulnerable to pesticide exposure, and repeated studies have shown that people who eat organic food as part of their diets have dramatically lower pesticide residues in their urine compared to those who eat conventional diets. (28-30)
- **Organic food is highly nutritious.** A growing body of research suggests that nutrients and minerals (such as potassium and iron), antioxidants, and beneficial phenolic compounds are more abundant in organic foods than conventional foods. (31-38) Organic milk and meat are also frequently richer in beneficial fatty acids than conventional milk or meat. (39-43)
- **Organic farms stimulate local economies.** Counties with high levels of organic agricultural activity are known as “organic hot spots.” Organic hot spots, on average, decrease county poverty rates and increase median household income. (44)



ORGANIC STANDARDS INCLUDE REGENERATIVE PRACTICES

The chart below shows how the broad goals of regenerative agriculture are legal requirements for organic producers, as codified in the Code of Federal Regulations.

Practices associated with regenerative agriculture	Organic in the Code of Federal Regulations
Reduce tillage (or no-, minimal-, conservation-)	The standards state: "Tillage and cultivation practices must maintain or improve the condition of soil and minimize soil erosion" (7 CFR 205.203(a))
Use cover crops	Required (7 CFR 205.203(b))
Use crop rotations	Required (7 CFR 205.203(b))
Use compost, mulch, green manure, or crop residues	Required (7 CFR 205.203(b) and 7 CFR 205.205)
Protect/cover the soil	Required, though there is no specific requirement to keep soil covered (7 CFR 205.203(a))
Prohibit synthetic pesticides	Required (7 CFR 205.105(a))
Prohibit synthetic fertilizers	Required (7 CFR 205.203)
Prohibit genetically engineered seeds	Required (7 CFR 205.105(e))
Ecological site-specificity	The regulatory definition includes site-specificity. "Organic production" is defined as "A production system that is managed ... to respond to site-specific conditions by integrating cultural, biological, and mechanical practices that foster cycling of resources, promote ecological balance, and conserve biodiversity."

THE BOTTOM LINE

Organic is a leading form of ecologically regenerative agriculture.

Decades of research demonstrate that organic farms build healthy soils, increase farms' climate resilience, and support healthy communities.

Policymakers, companies, and institutions that want to invest in regenerative practices should understand that organic is a leading form of regenerative agriculture and a proven way to meet sustainability goals.

Investing in organic is a meaningful way to avoid greenwashing associated with the term regenerative.

Like the term 'sustainable,' there is no set definition of regenerative agriculture. Some definitions are robust while others are weak or even meaningless. Some regenerative farms, like regenerative organic farms, are actively protecting soils, supporting biodiversity, and fighting climate change. But the term 'regenerative' is also being applied to chemical-intensive agriculture associated with high greenhouse gas emissions and use of pesticides that pose a grave threat to soil health, biodiversity, and human health. Policymakers, companies, and institutions should be aware of the greenwashing that occurs in the regenerative space and are advised to put appropriate safeguards in place.

LEARN MORE:

www.OrganicForAll.org

CITATIONS

1. Gunstone, T., Cornelisse, T., Klein, K., Dubey, A., Donley, N. 2021. Pesticides and soil invertebrates: A hazard assessment. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 9. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2021.643847>
2. Puglisi, E. 2012. Response of microbial organisms (aquatic and terrestrial) to pesticides. *EFSA Supporting Publications* 2012. 9(11): EN-359. <https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.2903/sp.efsa.2012.EN-359>
3. Organic Production and Handling Requirements, 7 CFR § 205.203 (2024). <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-7/subtitle-B/chapter-I/subchapter-M/part-205/subpart-C>
4. Snyder, L., Schonbeck, M., Vélez, T., and Tencer, B. 2022. *National Organic Research Agenda*. Organic Farming Research Foundation: Santa Cruz, CA. <https://ofrr.org/research/nora/>
5. Williams, D. M., Blanco-Canqui, H., Francis, C. A., & Galusha, T. D. 2017. Organic farming and soil physical properties: an assessment after 40 years. *Agronomy Journal*. 10: 600-609.
6. Borron, S. 2006. *Building Resilience for an Unpredictable Future: How Organic Agriculture Can Help Farmers Adapt to Climate Change*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/fr?details=d736bbbe-d445-5f32-bfb0-1f7dec3d5530/>
7. Lotter, D., Seidel, R., & Liebhardt, W. 2003. The performance of organic and conventional cropping systems in an extreme climate year. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*. 18(3): 146-154.
8. Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., & Seidel, R. 2005. Environmental, energetic and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. *Bioscience*. 55(7): 573-583.
9. Rodale Institute. *The Farming Systems Trial*. Rodale Institute: Kutztown, PA. Webpage. <https://rodaleinstitute.org/science/farming-systems-trial/>
10. Ghabbour, E., Davies, G., Misiewicz, T., Alami, R., Askounis, E., Cuozzo, N., Filice, A., Haskell, J., Moy, A., Roach, A., Shade, J. 2017. Chapter one: National comparison of the total and sequestered organic matter contents of conventional and organic farm soils. *Advances in Agronomy*. 146: 1-35. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0065211317300676>
11. Tautges, N., Chirtas, J., Gaudin, A., O'Geen, A., Herrera, I., Scow, K. 2019. Deep soil inventories reveal that impacts of cover crops and compost on soil carbon sequestration differ in surface and sub-surface soils. *Global Change Biology*. 25(11). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334452070_Deep_soil_inventories_reveal_that_impacts_of_cover_crops_and_compost_on_soil_carbon_sequestration_differ_in_surface_and_sub-surface_soils
12. Cavigelli, M.A., Mirsky, S.B., Teasdale, J.R., Spargo, J.T., Doran, J.W. 2013. Organic management systems to enhance ecosystem services. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*. 28: 145-159.
13. Martins, B., Cavigelli, M.A., Maul, J.E., Buyer, J.S., Le, A.N., Rasmann, C., Martin-Neto, L. 2014. Spectroscopic characterization and evaluation of SOM in areas under different soil tillage systems. *10th Meeting of the Brazilian Chapter of the International Humic Substances Society Conference, October 14-18, 2013, Santo Antonio de Goiás, Goiás State*. 69-72.
14. Chiriaco, M. V., Castaldi, S., Valentini, R. 2022. Determining organic versus conventional food emissions to foster the transition to sustainable food systems and diets: Insights from a systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 380(2). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652622045103>
15. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Midgley, P. M. et al. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
16. Burger, M., Jackson, L. E., Lundquist, E. J., Louie, D. T., Miller, R. L., Rolston, D. R., & Scow, K. M. 2005. Microbial responses and nitrous oxide emissions during wetting and drying of organically and conventionally managed soil under tomatoes. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 42: 109-118.
17. Ziesemer, Jodi. 2007. *Energy Use in Organic Food Systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome. <http://www.fbaf.org/2009/FBAF/website/images/pdf/important-publication/fao-organic-report.pdf>
18. Pimentel, David. 2006. *Impacts of Organic Farming on the Efficiency of Energy Use in Agriculture*. The Organic Center, Cornell University: Ithaca, NY. <https://www.organic-center.org/impacts-organic-farming-efficiency-energy-use-agriculture>
19. Heller, M. C., & Keoleian, G. A. 2000. *Life Cycle-Based Sustainability Indicators for Assessment of the U.S. Food System. Report No. 2000-4*. The University of Michigan Center for Sustainable System: Ann Arbor, Michigan.
20. Lynch, D. H., MacRae, R., & Martin, R. C. 2011. The carbon and global warming potential impacts of organic farming: Does it have a significant role in an energy constrained world? *Sustainability*. 3(2): 322-362.
21. Bengtsson, J., Ahnstrom, J., & Weibull, A. 2005. The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 4: 261-269.
22. Lichtenberg, E. M., Kennedy, C. M., Kremen, C., Batary, P., Berendse, G., Bonmarco, R., Crowder, D. 2017. A global synthesis of the effects of diversified farming systems on arthropod diversity within fields and across agricultural landscapes. *Global Change Biology*. 23: 4946-4957.
23. Crowder, D. W., Northfield, T. D., Gomulkiewicz, R. & Snyder, W. E. 2012. Conserving and promoting evenness: Organic farming and fire-based wildland management as case studies. *Ecology*. 93: 2001-2007.
24. USDA. *The Importance of Pollinators*. United States Department of Agriculture: Washington, D.C. Webpage. <https://www.usda.gov/peoples-garden/pollinators>
25. DiBartolomeis, M., Kegley, S., Mineau, P., Radford, R., Klein, K. 2019. An assessment of acute insecticide toxicity loading (AITL) of chemical pesticides used on agricultural land in the United States. *PLoS ONE*. 14(8): e0220029.
26. Beyond Pesticides. *Gateway on Pesticide Hazards*. Beyond Pesticides: Berkeley, CA. Online. <https://www.beyondpesticides.org/resources/pesticide-gateway>
27. Pesticide Action Network International. 2021. *PAN International List of Highly Hazardous Pesticides*. Pesticide Action Network International: Hamburg, Germany. March. https://pan-international.org/wp-content/uploads/PAN_HHP_List.pdf
28. Curl, C. L., Fenske R. A., & Elgethun, K. 2003. Organophosphorus pesticide exposure of urban and sub-urban preschool children with organic and conventional diets. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 111: 377-382.
29. Morgan, M. K., Sheldon, L. S., Croghan, C. W., Jones, P. A., Robertson, G. L., Chuang, J. C., Lyu, C. W. 2005. Exposures of preschool children to chlorpyrifos and its degradation product 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol in their everyday environments. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*. 15(4): 297-309.
30. Wilson, N. K., Chuang, J. C., Lyu, C., Menton, R., & Morgan, M. K. 2003. Aggregate exposures of nine preschool children to persistent organic pollutants at day care and at home. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*. 13(3): 187-202.
31. Brantsæter, A. L. et al. 2017. Organic food in the diet: exposure and health implications. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 38 (1): 295-313. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044437>
32. Helfenstein, J., et al. 2016. Organic wheat farming improves grain zinc concentration. *PLoS ONE*. 11(8): e0160729. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160729>
33. European Parliamentary Research Service. 2016 *Human Health Implications of Organic Food and Organic Agriculture*. European Parliamentary Research Service: Brussels, Belgium. December. [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2016/581922/EPRS_STU\(2016\)581922_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2016/581922/EPRS_STU(2016)581922_EN.pdf)
34. Mie, A., Wivstad, M. 2015. *Organic Food: Food Quality and Potential Health Effects. A Review of Current Knowledge, and a Discussion of Uncertainties*. EPOK – Centre for Organic Food & Farming, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences: Uppsala, Sweden. https://orgprints.org/id/eprint/29439/1/Organic_food_quality_and_health_webb.pdf
35. Röös, E., et al. 2018. Risks and opportunities of increasing yields in organic farming: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 38 (2): 14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-018-0489-3>
36. Barański, M. et al. 2014. Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: A systematic literature review and meta-analyses. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 112(5): 794-811. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4141693/>
37. Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N. 2017. Many shades of gray: The context-dependent performance of organic agriculture. *Science Advances*. 3(3): e1602638. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1602638>
38. Thangasamy, A., et al. 2018. Comparison of organic and conventional farming for onion yield, biochemical quality, soil organic carbon, and microbial population. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 64(2): 219-30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2017.1341045>
39. Duman, I., et al. 2018. A long-term trial to determine variations in the yield and quality of a processing type pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. Cv. Yalova Yağlık-28) in organic and conventional farming systems. *Organic Agriculture*. 8(1): 69-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-016-0174-2>
40. Średnicka-Tober, D., et al. 2016. Higher PUFA and N-3 PUFA, conjugated linoleic acid, α-tocopherol and iron, but lower iodine and selenium concentrations in organic milk. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 115(6): 1043-1060. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114516000349>
41. Schwendel, et al. 2017. Pasture feeding conventional cows removes differences between organic and conventionally produced milk. *Food Chemistry*. 229: 805-813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.02.104>
42. Średnicka-Tober, D., et al. 2016. Composition differences between organic and conventional meat: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 115(6): 994-1011. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515005073>
43. RibasAgustí, A., et al. 2019. Nutritional properties of organic and conventional beef meat at retail. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 99(9): 4218-25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9652>
44. Marasteanu, I., & Jaenicke, E. 2018. Economic impact of organic agriculture hotspots in the United States. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*. 1-22.